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Chapter 1

Introduction

The determination of the detailed microscopic structure and dynamics of complex supramolecular systems is still a challenge for modern physics and chemistry. The interplay of intramolecular (often covalent) and intermolecular (non-covalent) interactions is crucial for a broad range of chemical, biological, and physical processes that occur in nature (1; 2; 3; 4).

With the recent advances in computational methodology as well as computer hardware, the first-principles prediction of such non-covalent effects on structure and experimentally observable spectra has come into reach for many systems of technological and fundamental scientific interest (5; 6; 7). Several methods exist to incorporate the influence of the chemical environment into such electronic structure calculations. The explicit consideration of a large number of neighboring molecules is in principle most accurate, but computationally very demanding and thus only applicable in simple cases (8; 9; 10).

The most accurate and generally applicable methods in computational physics and chemistry are those based on quantum mechanics, which solve partially simplified the Schrödinger equation using different numerical schemes and approximations. Density functional theory (DFT) (11; 12; 13; 14) is based on the
solution of the Kohn Sham equations, which are derived from Schrödinger equation and it employs a strategy by avoiding the calculation of the many-electron wave function. Due to its accuracy for a wide range of compounds and because the computational effort is in general lower than that of wave function based methods, DFT has become the method of choice for many practical applications. However, DFT relies on the use of an approximate functional for the exchange-correlation energy (13; 15; 16; 17; 18), and the accuracy of DFT calculations is limited by the quality of this approximate functional.

Besides quantum chemical methods, there are the molecular mechanics (MM) methods, which are based on classical force fields obtained from fitting to experimental data or to the results of quantum chemical calculations. MM methods are computationally inexpensive, and can be applied to very large systems. However, the applicability of the available force fields is limited to those of molecules for which the force field has been designed, and chemical reactions could not be modelled reliably.

As this short overview shows, the methods available in computational physics and chemistry differ significantly in their applicability, their accuracy and the computational effort that is required. As a rule of thumb, more accurate methods are in general computationally more expensive, and usually show a less favourable scaling of the computational effort with the size of the system. Calculations using the most accurate methods are generally limited to small molecules in the gas phase, while calculations on larger systems are only feasible with less accurate methods.

One of the biggest challenges for computational physics and chemistry is the realistic description of large systems such as biological systems (e.g., reactions catalyzed by enzymes) or of molecules in solution. Such a description requires not only the calculation of large systems, but also that the dynamics of the system
at finite temperature is accounted for. This means that long time scales have to be
considered by performing calculations for a large number of different structures.
Therefore, such calculations which are of the focus of this work are only within
reach if one tries to apply suitably simplified quantum chemical methods.

On the experimental side, the primary output of all theoretical methods and
tools is structural data. The latter, however, is often not directly accessible ex-
perimentally. The predominant way to analyze complex supramolecular systems
is via their spectroscopic fingerprints. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is a
widespread analysis tool in many areas of chemistry and biology. One of the
key quantities in this context are NMR chemical shifts spectra, which allow the
characterization of the chemical environment of individual atoms, in particular re-
garding hydrogen bond strength (19). A special advantage of magnetic resonance
is that the quantitative determination of many structural properties is possible
for systems which are only ordered locally. No long-range order is required, in
contrast to conventional diffraction and scattering methods. In exchange, the
data obtained from NMR experiments does not directly provide the ensemble of
three-dimensional atomic coordinates (as do many diffraction techniques), but
only a set of distance and angle constraints, including packing effects such as
hydrogen bonding structure and aromatic π-electron interactions. Nevertheless,
magnetic resonance techniques are able to reveal details about structure and
dynamics of complex supramolecular systems (20; 21; 22).

On the level of computational chemistry, the corresponding electronic struc-
ture based calculation of nuclear shielding tensors is nowadays well-established (23;
24; 25; 26). These approaches, developed in the framework of density functional
theory (DFT) (13; 27) or explicitly correlated post-Hartree-Fock theory (28; 29)
have enabled an accurate analysis of NMR chemical shifts in many systems of high
chemical and biological relevance, be it isolated molecules and clusters (22; 30),
crystalline solids (31; 32; 33), nanotubes (34; 35), molecular liquids (8; 36), or solutions (9).

In many cases, the calculation of NMR chemical shifts or other properties of a large system is not only prohibitively expensive, but also not particularly interesting. For instance, in enzymes focus can be placed on the active center, where the reaction of interest takes place, while the protein environment is important for stabilizing this active center, but in general does not take part in the reaction itself. In the case of solvent effects, the main interest lies usually on properties of the solute molecule, while the surrounding solvent molecules are only important because of their effect on the solute. Therefore, it is often not necessary to treat the whole system at the same level, but instead to apply methods in which different parts of the system are described using different approximation. Usually, one combines a high-level method for the important part of the system (the subsystem of interest) with a low-level method for the environment. This allows to focus on the important parts, while not wasting computational effort on parts of the system were an accurate description is not essential. In such cases, only this sub-system merits the computationally intensive treatment with quantum mechanical methods (QM) whereas the large remaining part of the system can be described with less accurate empirical molecular mechanics (MM) approaches. In this way, the advantages of both types of simulation can be combined.

There are a number of different embedding schemes available, that can be distinguished by the methods that are combined and by their treatment of the coupling between these different methods. In this context, a hybrid QM/MM method is often adopted which splits the total system into a larger and a smaller part. A variety of methods to accomplish this task have been presented in the last decades. Fundamental work in this field is given in (37; 38; 39; 40; 41; 42; 43; 44; 45). A novel implementation of the QM/MM idea has been presented (45), which com-
bines a classical force field (in this case from the GROMOS96 (46) or AMBER codes (47)) with a Car-Parrinello Molecular Dynamics package (CPMD (48; 49)). The CPMD package is based on a pseudopotential plane-wave scheme within density functional theory (DFT) under periodic boundary conditions. This hybrid QM/MM combination allows an efficient simulation of picosecond dynamics of extended systems like solvated macromolecules or liquids, keeping the accuracy and flexibility of DFT while incorporating the ability to simulate explicitly all degrees of freedom of thousands of atoms.

This thesis focuses on improving the methods for the calculation of NMR chemical shifts within the QM/MM framework. In particular, a realistic system in the condensed phase will be considered whose NMR chemical shifts of the atoms at the border of the QM subsystem are computed. The NMR lines of those atoms which are close or in direct contact with the classical part of the system are likely to be more difficult to describe. Their electrons see the QM fragment on one side, while the other side consists of a distribution of point charges, thus lacking any quantum-mechanical effects (e.g. chemical bonds, Pauli repulsion). To this purpose, the approach is pushed to its limits by investigating the case that only a single molecule is treated quantum mechanically, surrounded by a solvent, described on the molecular mechanics level.

Handling the boundary between the QM and MM regions need extreme caution, because wrong assumptions can easily lead to unphysical results. The problem in such a setup is the cut between QM and MM system since the valence shell of the QM atom, which is part of a mixed QM/MM bond is not saturated. A popular choice is to cap all boundary bonds by hydrogens. However, this often leads to a distortion of the electronic density. For this purpose, a special “dummy” pseudopotential is used to connect the QM and MM parts in a more physical way, in order to preserve important chemical properties like bond lengths
and vibrational frequencies. The specific aim of the present thesis work is to tune this pseudopotential such as to reproduce the electronic structure of the quantum region as similar as possible to a full QM calculation.

1.1 Thesis Overview

The topic of this thesis is the methodological improvement and the application of the existing QM/MM implementation, based on the computer codes CPMD (Car-Parrinello Molecular Dynamics, for the QM part) and Gromos (force field, for the MM part).

This thesis work is organized in two introductory chapters in which the general motivation and the fundamental theoretical concepts are outlined (chapters 1 and 2), followed by an application to adenine in aqueous solution (chapter 3) and the central methodological development, the QM/MM capping potentials (chapter 4). The introduction and general theory parts draw in parts on review papers and previously published work (41; 45; 50; 51; 52; 53; 54; 55) while the original research development (an automatized scheme that is able to systematically optimize QM/MM capping potentials) and the application project (solvent effects on adenine NMR chemical shifts from QM/MM calculations) have been published in the framework of this thesis (56; 57). An additional project that has been carried out during this doctoral work has been published separately (58), but is not included in this thesis, as it was performed in a separate collaboration outside the specific QM/MM context, and thus does not fit the scope of this dissertation.
Chapter 2

Theory

2.1 General

In the following sections, the general theory for the quantum mechanical description of matter that is used in this work will be presented. Physical and chemical intuition can suggest the use of several approximations, which render numerical calculations affordable. When it comes to the actual calculation of the properties of matter through a numerical description of the atoms and electrons, a theoretical framework is needed to represent them in a suitable way.

To investigate the properties of matter, a combination of a variety of computational methods will be employed. In this work, a hybrid of quantum mechanics and molecular mechanics (QM/MM) calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) is chosen. This allows the efficient calculation of large systems and, in combination with molecular dynamics, good statistical sampling. It constitutes the fundamental concept underlying all the calculations done lateron, thus justifying that it be described in detail in this section.

The starting point is the basic equation of quantum theory, the Schrödinger equation, which is then transformed to simplified formulations that can be treated
by computer programs. Then, several additional approximations are introduced, which need to be used in order to lower the consumption of computational resources. Finally, the division of the system into a quantum and a classical part forms the core of hybrid QM/MM calculations is presented, which form the basis of this scheme and combination with NMR calculations that is the topic of this thesis.

In the following sections, a brief overview will be given of the theories underlying the quantum mechanical description of matter used throughout this work. Both general aspects of the density functional theory (DFT) approach in combination with gradient corrected exchange correlation energy functionals \((11; 12; 13; 14; 51; 52)\) and an introduction to hybrid QM/MM molecular dynamics calculations \((41; 45; 50; 52; 53; 54; 55)\) will be discussed.

## 2.2 Density Functional Theory

### 2.2.1 Born-Oppenheimer approximation

The Schrödinger equation for a system containing \(n\) electrons and \(N\) nuclei has the form of an eigenvalue problem:

\[
\mathcal{H}\Psi(r_1, \ldots, r_n, R_1, \ldots R_N) = E\Psi(r_1, \ldots, r_n, R_1, \ldots R_N),
\]  

(2.1)

The many body Hamiltonian operator \(\mathcal{H}\) can be written in dimensionless form:

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_i -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2 + \sum_I -\frac{1}{2M_I}\nabla_I^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|} + \\
\frac{1}{2}\sum_{I\neq J} \frac{Q_I Q_J}{|R_I - R_J|} - \sum_{iI} \frac{Q_I}{|r_i - R_I|}.
\]  

(2.2)
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by atomic units transformation:

$$\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{r}}{a}; \quad E \rightarrow \frac{E}{E_a}$$  \hfill (2.3)

where

$$a = \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar^2}{m_e e^2}; \quad E_a = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e a^2}$$  \hfill (2.4)

The Bohr radius $a = 0.529\text{Å} \equiv 1\text{bohr}$ and Hartree energy $E_a = 27.212\text{eV} \equiv 1\text{Hartree}$ are the new units for a length and an energy respectively. Here, $e$ and $m_e$ are the electronic charge and mass, $\hbar$ is Planck’s constant divided by $2\pi$, and $\epsilon_0$ is the permittivity of vacuum. In Hamiltonian 2.2, $\mathbf{r}_i$ and $\mathbf{R}_I$ designate the dimensionless position operators acting on the electrons $i$ and the nuclei $I$, respectively. $M_I$ and $Q_I$ are the masses and charges of the nuclei in atomic units.

The Born-Oppenheimer (BO) approximation (14; 59) is based on the fact that the mass of the ions is much larger than the mass of the electrons. This implies that the typical electronic velocities are much larger than the ionic ones, and that by consequence, the dynamical evolution can be decoupled. Energetically, the decoupling corresponds to a separation of the spectra in such a way that in practice the electrons are always in their instantaneous ground state. The total wavefunction is therefore written as the product of the nuclear and electronic parts:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n, \mathbf{R}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{R}_N) = \Psi_{\text{el}}^{R_1, \ldots, R_N}(\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n)\Psi^i(\mathbf{R}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{R}_N)$$  \hfill (2.5)

where the electronic wavefunction $\Psi_{\mathbf{R}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{R}_N}(\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n)$ depends only parametrically on the ionic position variables. In most cases, this approximation turns out to be justified. This adiabatic behaviour leads to separating the Schrödinger
equation (2.1) into two decoupled ones: the Schrödinger equation for the electrons in the electrostatic field of the fixed nuclei, and the other one for the nuclei, in which the potential function is given by the electronic energy eigenvalue for the corresponding nuclear positions. A further approximation is to treat the nuclei like classical particles, so that in the end, the nuclear position operators can all be turned into position variables. The quantum effects are then limited to the electronic wavefunctions, which obey a simpler Schrödinger equation:

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{el}} \Psi_{\text{el} R_1, \ldots, R_N}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = E_{\text{el} R_1, \ldots, R_N} \Psi_{\text{el} R_1, \ldots, R_N}(r_1, \ldots, r_n)
\]

(2.6)

with

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{el}} = \sum_i -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|} - \sum_i Q_i |r_i - R_i|.
\]

(2.7)

In the following sections, one of the currently most popular theories will be described in detail. Its main idea is to take the electronic density instead of the wavefunction as the fundamental variable, thus reducing the degrees of freedom drastically.

### 2.2.2 Many-body electronic wave function

The exact quantum mechanical treatment of systems consisting of nuclei and electrons is not possible at present, even within the BO approximation, and independently of the system size. A simple analysis of the complexity of the problem shows that its computational requirements are prohibitive. Also in the foreseeable future, such calculations will very probably be impossible.

One of the simplest systems that one can assume to be a representative example for a practical calculation is a single isolated atom, for instance the neon atom.
As a first simplification, only the electronic wavefunction shall be described as a system of ten particles. The electronic wavefunction has the form

\[ \Psi(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{10}) \]  

(2.8)

where \( r_i \) are the position variables of the electrons. For simplicity, this wavefunction shall be described on a real space grid of only ten points per axis, and the values of \( \Psi \) on this mesh are assumed to be representable by numbers requiring ten bytes of storage capacity. The various simplifications that can be made thanks to the symmetry of this particular system shall not be taken into account, as these symmetries can easily be broken.

The storage requirements of the wavefunction for this isolated system are then

\[
\frac{10 \text{ bytes}}{\text{point}} \times \left( \frac{10 \text{ points}}{\text{axis}} \right)^3 \times 10 \text{ particles} = 10^{31} \text{ bytes}. \tag{2.9}
\]

This number of bytes needs to be stored in order to represent the wavefunction of the ten electrons. Assuming heuristically that a DVD disc has a theoretical storage capacity of 10 Gigabytes = 10^{10} bytes, one needs a total of 10^{21} DVD discs for the storage. With a weight of ten grams per DVD, the total weight of those discs is 10^{16} tons. A heavy truck can carry less than 100 tons of weight, so that more than 10^{14} trucks are needed to carry the DVDs to the computer that is responsible for the calculation. If these trucks are ten meters long, the distance of 10^{15} m or 10^{12} km is equivalent to ten thousand times the distance between the sun and the planet on which this work has been done.

This little example makes evident that an exact solution of the quantum many body problem is not feasible. Therefore, many concepts have been developed to overcome the complexity of the problem and to introduce physically reasonable
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Fortunately, it turns out that the use of several approximations still reproduces the experimental results with a good accuracy. Only these approximations make numerical calculations affordable. In this chapter, one of the currently most popular theories shall be described in detail. It basically consists of taking the electronic density instead of the wavefunction as the fundamental variable, thus reducing the degrees of freedom drastically.

The BO approximation provides a way to separate the ionic degrees of freedom from the electronic ones. The additional simplifications and approximations are necessary to describe the electrons numerically therefore concern the Schrödinger equation (2.1) only.

Quantum chemical methods are based on the fact that any antisymmetric many-electron wavefunction can be written as a sum of Slater determinants of atomic basis functions. The simplest method is just to take one determinant, built from the occupied states of the atom. This is called the Hartree-Fock wavefunction.

When not only considering occupied, but also unoccupied or virtual atomic orbitals, one can increase the accuracy of the method. The size of the set of atomic orbitals used in the determinants characterizes the level of precision of these methods: configuration interaction (60), multi-configuration Hartree-Fock (61), multi-reference configuration interaction (62) and the coupled cluster methods (63; 64; 65) belong to this category and are nowadays routinely used to calculate molecular properties. Their accuracy is very high, and especially the coupled cluster approach can actually compete with experiment.

In the configuration interaction method, the wavefunction is a linear combination of Slater determinants constructed from occupied and virtual atomic basis functions, and the linear coefficients are varied to find the minimum of
2.2 Density Functional Theory

the total energy. In the limit of a complete atomic basis, the configuration interaction approaches yield the correct solution of the Schrödinger equation. In practice, the basis set is truncated after a few excited states. In the multi configuration configuration interaction method, not only the linear coefficients of the determinants, but also the orbital coefficients of the underlying atomic basis orbitals within each determinant are varied to find the minimum energy. This procedure basically speeds up the convergence with respect to the simple configuration interaction scheme. Another approach is the so-called Møller-Plesset perturbation theory (MP2), where the wavefunction contributions from excited states are taken into account through a perturbation theory calculation, starting with the standard Hartree-Fock determinants.

The disadvantage common to all these approaches is that they have a relatively high computational cost and are therefore restricted to small systems. The definition of small changes in time, but the scaling of these methods with the system size is such that at a reasonable expense, only systems with less than roughly hundred atoms can be treated.

Density functional theory (DFT) is conceptually different from the previous approaches. In this method, the large-dimensional many body problem of interacting electrons is transformed into a system of equations of independent electrons. This method is described in detail in the following sections. It shall be noted here that in the following, DFT will be used as a synonym for ground state DFT. It has turned out that DFT is able to treat excited states as well (14), even though its results need to be used with more care. However, in this work, only the electronic ground state shall be considered.
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2.2.3 Hohenberg-Kohn and Kohn-Sham formalism

Density functional theory is based primarily on two theorems by Hohenberg and Kohn (11). The first one states:

The all electron many body ground state wavefunction \( \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n) \) of a system of \( n \) interacting electrons is a unique functional of the electronic density \( n(\mathbf{r}) \).

\[
\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n) = \Psi[n(\mathbf{r})](\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n) \quad (2.10)
\]

\[
n(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^3r_2 \int d^3r_3 \ldots \int d^3r_n |\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n)|^2 \quad (2.11)
\]

The immediate consequence of this theorem is that all physically measurable quantities based on the electronic structure are in fact unique functionals of the electronic ground state density alone. Note that in general, there is no closed expression for these functionals.

The proof of this theorem is based on the variational Ritz principle: The wavefunction which minimizes the energy functional, i.e. the expectation value of the Hamiltonian, is the ground state solution of the Schrödinger equation.

In Eq. (2.7), the electronic Hamiltonian is completely determined by the Coulomb potential of the nuclei, which can be generalized to a universal external potential \( v(\mathbf{r}) \). The all electron wavefunction being well defined through the variational principle from this fixed Hamiltonian, it follows that this wavefunction is a functional of this external potential. Thus, the Hohenberg Kohn theorem as stated above is equivalent to saying that the ground state electronic density determines the external potential. It shall be noted here that this external potential has nothing to do with the Coulomb potential the electronic density creates by itself, this interaction is taken into account by the second term.
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in Eq. (2.7). Assume there were two external potentials \( v \) and \( v' \) differing by more than a constant and leading to the same ground state density \( n \). This density would be obtained through the solutions \( \Psi \) and \( \Psi' \) determined from the variational principle of the corresponding Hamiltonians \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{H}' \), respectively. Then, the following inequalities hold:

\[
E_0 = \langle \Psi | \mathcal{H} | \Psi \rangle < \langle \Psi' | \mathcal{H} | \Psi' \rangle \quad (2.12)
\]

\[
E'_0 = \langle \Psi' | \mathcal{H}' | \Psi' \rangle < \langle \Psi | \mathcal{H}' | \Psi \rangle \quad (2.13)
\]

Adding Eq. (2.12) to Eq. (2.13) yields

\[
E_0 + E'_0 < \langle \Psi' | \mathcal{H}' | \Psi' \rangle + \langle \Psi | \mathcal{H}' | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi' | \mathcal{H}' | \Psi' \rangle + \langle \Psi' | \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}' | \Psi' \rangle + \langle \Psi | \mathcal{H}' | \Psi \rangle + \langle \Psi | \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}' | \Psi \rangle
\]

\[
0 < \langle \Psi' | \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}' | \Psi' \rangle + \langle \Psi | \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}' | \Psi \rangle. \quad (2.14)
\]

But since the difference of the two Hamiltonians in Eq. (2.14) is equal to the difference of their external potentials, this becomes:

\[
0 < \langle \Psi' | v - v' | \Psi' \rangle + \langle \Psi | v' - v | \Psi \rangle. \quad (2.15)
\]

This external potential, however, is a local operator, so that it can be expressed as a simple integral:

\[
0 < \int d^3r \ [v(\mathbf{r}) - v'(\mathbf{r})] |\Psi'|^2(\mathbf{r}) + [v'(\mathbf{r}) - v(\mathbf{r})] |\Psi|^2(\mathbf{r}). \quad (2.16)
\]

But since the two solutions \( \Psi \) and \( \Psi' \) were supposed to give the same electronic density, \( |\Psi'|^2(\mathbf{r}) = |\Psi|^2(\mathbf{r}) \) and the right hand side of Eq. (2.16) vanishes and the
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inequality results in a contradiction

\[ 0 < 0. \] (2.17)

Therefore, there cannot be two external potentials that yield the same electronic density. Or, in other words, a given electronic density can be uniquely assigned to one external potential.

In this theorem, it is important to note that it can not be applied to any arbitrary density. Only densities that result from the solution \( \Psi \) of the true Schrödinger equation by Eq. (2.11) can be assigned to the originating external potential. If a density can be obtained this way, it is said to be \( \nu \)-representable.

The second Hohenberg-Kohn theorem is essentially a minimum principle for the density. In contrast to the ordinary variational principle, which is formulated only with respect to the wavefunctions in combination with the energy functional, it states:

For all \( \nu \)-representable densities \( n \), the one that minimizes the energy functional with a given external potential is the ground state density, i.e. the density which corresponds to the solution of the Schrödinger equation.

The Hohenberg Kohn theorems show that it is possible in principle to calculate all quantities of physical interest from the electronic density alone. The remaining problem, how to find this density in practice, is more involved than it seems at first glance. In terms of wavefunctions, the total electronic energy is given by the expectation value of the Hamiltonian, Eq. (2.7):

\[
E^{\text{el}} = E_{\text{tot}}[\Psi] = \left\langle \Psi \left| \sum_i -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|} + \sum_i v_{\text{ext}}(r_i) \right| \Psi \right\rangle.
\] (2.18)
Here and in the following, calligraphic letters indicate a functional, whereas arabic ones designate a scalar quantity.

There are no closed expressions to calculate the first two parts of the total energy directly from the electronic density only, because the involved operators $\nabla_i$ and $\frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|}$ act on individual orbitals. In order to turn DFT into a practical tool for real calculations, Kohn and Sham (12) proposed an indirect approach to this functional by introducing a fictitious system of independent, non interacting electrons. Their goal was to tune the electrical potential of this fictitious system in such a way that will eventually lead to the same electronic density as for the true system. The idea is to define a new functional subtracting from Eq. (2.18) several terms calculated from the wave function of a non interacting gas of electrons with the same density as would have the exact solution of interacting particles. Let $|\varphi_i\rangle$ be the single particle wavefunctions of the independent electron gas. Its kinetic energy and density are:

$$\mathcal{T}[\varphi] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \langle \varphi_i | \nabla^2 | \varphi_i \rangle$$

(2.19)

$$n(r) = \sum_i |\varphi_i(r)|^2.$$  

(2.20)

This density is by construction equal to the one of the interacting electrons. If the density were a classical charge distribution, its interaction energy would be:

$$\mathcal{E}_H[n] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \frac{n(r)n(r')}{|r - r'|}.$$  

(2.21)

$\mathcal{E}_H[n]$ is called the Hartree energy of the system. Finally, the interaction with the external potential remains:

$$\mathcal{E}_{ext}[n] = \int d^3r \ v_{ext}(r)n(r)$$  

(2.22)
Thus, the Kohn Sham energy functional of the fictitious non interacting system is:

\[ \mathcal{E}_{KS}[n] = \mathcal{T}[\varphi_{n(r)}] + \mathcal{E}_H[n] + \mathcal{E}_{ext}[n]. \] (2.23)

Substitution of \( \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{E}_H \) and \( \mathcal{E}_{ext} \) in the energy functional of the interacting system introduces an error even when assuming identical electronic densities. The error contains all many body effects which cannot be treated in an exact way. This difference between the correct functional and the one which can be computed, \( \mathcal{E}_{KS} \), shall be compensated by the exchange-correlation functional \( \mathcal{E}_{xc} \) of the system, which still needs to be defined. Formally, it is given by the difference between Eq. (2.18) and Eq. (2.23):

\[ \mathcal{E}_{xc}[n] = \mathcal{E}_{tot}[n] - \mathcal{E}_{KS}[n]. \] (2.24)

If this functional is known, one is able to compute the ground state density of interacting system by minimizing the total energy \( \mathcal{E}_{KS} + \mathcal{E}_{xc} \). However, no closed expression has been found up to date for this. Several approximations for \( \mathcal{E}_{xc} \) proposed in literature are discussed in the next section.

The minimization of the total electronic energy functional must be done requiring the electronic wavefunctions be orthonormal to each other:

\[ \langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall i, j. \] (2.25)

This is achieved by a Lagrange multiplier method (66) in combination with the stationarity condition for the energy functional:

\[ \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi_i(r)} (\mathcal{E}_{KS} + \mathcal{E}_{xc}) = 0. \] (2.26)
This technique yields the Kohn Sham equations, which read:

\[
\left[ -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + v_H(r) + v_{xc}(r) + v_{\text{ext}}(r) \right] \varphi_i(r) = \epsilon_i \varphi_i(r). \tag{2.27}
\]

Here, \( \epsilon_i \) are the eigenvalues of the KS Hamiltonian and the potentials are the derivatives of the corresponding energy functionals with respect to the density:

\[
v_H(r) = \frac{\delta}{\delta n(r)} E_H[n] = \int d^3r' \frac{n(r')}{|r - r'|} \tag{2.28}
\]

\[
v_{xc}(r) = \frac{\delta}{\delta n(r)} E_{xc}[n] \tag{2.29}
\]

\[
v_{\text{ext}}(r) = \frac{\delta}{\delta n(r)} E_{\text{ext}}[n] = \sum_I \frac{Q_I}{|r - R_I|} \tag{2.30}
\]

Since these potentials still depend on the density, Eq. (2.27) has to be solved self-consistently. For a density computed from a set of trial wavefunctions, the potentials are calculated, and inserted in (2.27). Then, a better set of trial wavefunctions is obtained and the procedure is repeated until the changes in the orbitals and the density are negligible according to a chosen convergence criterion.

At first sight, this single particle formulation due to Kohn and Sham has some similarity with a mean-field approach: the independent electrons move in the electrostatic field created by themselves and by the nuclei. However, the many body effects are taken into account through the exchange-correlation functional, even if there is no straightforward way to write it down.

### 2.2.4 Exchange-correlation functionals

As already mentioned, DFT is formally an exact theory, but the difficulties related to the many body nature of the Schrödinger equation have only been reformu-
lated in the exchange-correlation energy functional. To proceed to a practical calculation, an approximation has to be found for this expression. Even if nowadays, where there is a tendency towards more elaborate theories, a very popular one is the local density approximation (LDA) which yields good results in a large number of systems and which is still used in ab initio calculations (13; 15).

In this approximation, the exchange-correlation energy functional is chosen to have the same formal expression as the one of a uniform electron gas with the same density:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{LDA}} = \int d^3r \, \varepsilon_{\text{xc}}(n(r)) \, n(r),$$

(2.31)

where the function $\varepsilon_{\text{xc}}(n(r))$ depends locally on the density at the position $r$. This function has been calculated through a Monte Carlo simulation (67), providing the total energy of the ground state of a homogeneous interacting electron gas. This data, which was obtained for several densities, has been parametrized (68), yielding a function usable in Eq. (2.31). Considering the way this approximation has been obtained, it is obvious that for a uniform system, it is exact. Furthermore, it is expected to be still valid for a slowly varying electronic density. In other cases, its behaviour is not well controlled. It is used anyhow, mainly because of its ability to reproduce experimental ground state properties of many systems. Although there is no direct proof why it works correctly, it turns out that LDA can successfully deal with atoms, molecules, clusters, surfaces and interfaces. Even for dynamical processes like the phonon dispersion, it has been shown to yield good results (69; 70). However, in the course of time, many systems have been found that are incorrectly described by LDA. The most popular examples of this class are dielectric constants and related quantities, as well as weak bonds, in particular hydrogen bonds. In the field of metals, the ground
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state structure of crystalline iron is predicted to be paramagnetic fcc instead of ferromagnetic bcc (71).

Various corrections have been introduced in the course of the years to improve the local density approximation, but none of them has yet been generally accepted as being 'the best’. The class of gradient-corrected (GC) functionals can in many situations significantly increase the accuracy of DFT. These functionals assume that the exchange correlation energy does not only depend on the density, but also on its spatial derivative:

$$E_{xc}[n, \nabla n] = \int d^3r \varepsilon_{xc}[n(r), \nabla n(r)] n(r)$$  (2.32)

Among the GC schemes two of the popular ones, also used in this work, are the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhoff (PBE) (16) functional and the BLYP functional, which is constructed from the exchange functional of Becke (17) and the correlation functional of Lee, Yang, and Parr (18). For illustration, the the exchange-correlation function for the BLYP functional is given below:

$$\varepsilon_{xc} = \varepsilon_{xc}[n(r), \nabla n(r)]$$

$$= - \left( C_X + \beta \frac{x[n]^2}{1 + 6 \beta \sinh^{-1} x[n]} \right) n^{1/3}$$

$$-a \frac{1 + b n^{-5/3} \left[ C_F n^{5/3} - 2 \frac{1}{9} t_W[n] + \frac{1}{18} \nabla^2 n \right] e^{-cn^{-1/3}}}{1 + d n^{-1/3}}$$  (2.33)

$$x[n] = \frac{\|\nabla n\|}{n^{4/3}}$$  (2.34)

$$t_W[n] = \frac{1}{8} \frac{\|\nabla n\|^2}{n} - \frac{1}{8} \nabla^2 n$$  (2.35)

where for simplicity, an implicit dependence $n \equiv n(r)$ is assumed. The parameters $C_X, C_F, \beta, a, b, c, d$ are chosen in such a way that to fit the known exchange-
correlation energy of selected atoms in their ground state.

2.3 Pseudopotential approximation

The Kohn Sham equations, Eq. (2.18), can be solved expanding the KS orbitals in a complete set of known basis functions. Among the various existing possibilities, only the plane wave (PW) basis set shall be discussed in further detail. When describing a periodic system, they have invaluable numerical advantages, besides their conceptual simplicity. PWs allow a simple integration of the Poisson equation for the calculation of the Hartree potential, Eq. (2.21), and for the calculation of the kinetic energy expression, Eq. (2.19).

Due to the large oscillations of the core orbitals in the neighborhood of the atoms, plane waves cannot be used directly in the Kohn Sham formalism, Eq. (2.27). These oscillations would require an enormous basis set size to be described with acceptable resolution. However, the total energies associated with the core orbitals are several orders of magnitude larger than those of the valence band wavefunctions. Further, chemical reactions involve exclusively the valence electrons which are relatively far away from the nuclei. In contrast to this, the core electrons remain almost unaffected by the chemical bonding situation. They can be approximated to be “frozen” in their core configurations. This approximation considerably simplifies the task of solving the Kohn Sham equations, by eliminating all the degrees of freedom related to the core orbitals.

This process of mapping the core electrons out of Eq. (2.27) is done by the introduction of pseudopotentials. In the Hamiltonian, the nuclear potential is replaced by a new one, whose lowest energies coincide with the energies of the valence electrons in an all-electron calculation. In addition, this pseudopotential is required to reproduce the shape of the valence wavefunctions in regions
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sufficiently far from the nucleus. Close to the nucleus, the strong oscillations of
the valence orbitals due to orthogonality requirements in the all-electron case are
smoothed out.

In a typical pseudopotential, there is an attractive Coulomb term, whose
charge is given by the atomic valence, as well as a short-ranged term, which
is supposed to reproduce the effects of core-valence orthogonality, core-valence
Coulomb interaction, exchange and correlation between core and valence. In a
practical pseudopotential, these requirements are only partially satisfied. Nev-

ertheless, it turns out that pseudopotentials allow the description of the valence
properties up to a very good accuracy with a reasonable number of plane waves.

Common pseudopotentials are mostly norm-conserving. This means that in
addition to reproducing the all-electron valence wavefunctions outside a certain
core radius, the charge of the pseudo-wavefunction inside this core region is re-
quired to be identical to the corresponding charge in an all-electron calculation.
This can be achieved through non-local pseudopotentials of the form:

\[ V_I(r) = V_{I}^{\text{loc}}(r) + \sum_{l=0}^{l_{\text{max}}} V_{l,I}^{nl}(r) P_l \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.36)

where \( P_l \) is a projector on the angular momentum \( l \):

\[ P_l = \sum_{m=-l}^{m=+l} |l, m\rangle \langle l, m| \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.37)

with the spherical harmonics \( |l, m\rangle \), the eigenfunctions of the angular momentum
operator \( (\mathbf{L}^2, L_\theta) \). The functions \( V_{I}^{\text{loc}}(r) \) and \( V_{l,I}^{nl}(r) \) are the local and nonlocal
radial parts of the pseudopotential, respectively, and their concrete forms vary.
These functions are optimized numerically in such a way that the criteria men-
tioned above are best satisfied. Several expressions have been proposed for \( V_{I}^{\text{loc}}(r) \)
and \( V_{nl}^{ij}(r) \) \((72; 73; 74; 75; 76)\).

In general, it turns out that by means of pseudopotentials, the number of plane waves necessary to obtain physically meaningful valence orbitals is drastically reduced.

### 2.4 Plane wave representation

The Kohn Sham orbitals can be obtained from an expansion in a complete set of known basis functions and solving the KS equations self-consistently. There are several basis sets introduced in computational chemistry. However, since for this thesis, mostly plane waves have been used, they will be referred as basis. Apart for their intuitive concept, plane waves are more suited for calculations of periodic solids, as they naturally have the desired periodicity. They have a striking conceptual simplicity, and the kinetic energy and Coulomb interaction expressions between them are straightforward to implement. In addition, plane waves are not attached to the ions, so that moving the latter during a simulation does not give rise to any Pulay forces \((77)\). To obtain the electronic density \( n(r) = \sum_i |\varphi_i(r)|^2 \) from an electronic state, they have to be transferred to direct space or R-space. This can be done very efficiently by using the Fast Fourier Transformations technique \((78)\).

One of their drawbacks is that fast oscillations in R-space cannot be represented easily. Nevertheless, adopting the pseudopotential approximation introduced above the plane wave description is sufficiently accurate and provides an efficient method to analyze extended systems, in particular under periodic boundary conditions.

The electronic orbitals in a periodic system can be written according to
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Bloch’s theorem (59):

\[ \psi_{n,k}(r) = \varphi_{n,k}(r) \exp[i k \cdot r], \quad (2.38) \]

with a wavevector \( \mathbf{k} \), a band index \( n \) and a function \( \varphi_{n,k}(r) \) which is periodic in space, with the periodicity of the primitive cell:

\[ \varphi_{n,k}(r + \mathbf{R}) = \varphi_{n,k}(r) \quad (2.39) \]

for any lattice vector \( \mathbf{R} \). In the plane wave representation, this periodic function can therefore be expanded as:

\[ \varphi_{n,k}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} c_{n,k,G} \exp[i \mathbf{G} \cdot r], \quad (2.40) \]

where \( \Omega \) is the volume of the primitive cell and \( \mathbf{G} \) are the reciprocal space vectors. These vectors are characterized through

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} |\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{R}| \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.41) \]

with \( \mathbb{N} \) representing the set of integer numbers and \( \mathbf{R} \) being any lattice vector. Thus, Eq. (2.39) is automatically satisfied. In fact, Eq. (2.40) is a discrete complex Fourier series development of the wavefunction \( \varphi_{n,k} \). The coefficients can be obtained by means of the inverse transformation:

\[ c_{n,k,G} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega} d^3r \, \varphi_{n,k}(r) \exp[-i \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}]. \quad (2.42) \]

In practice, the wavefunction \( \varphi_{n,k}(r) \) is not known for all points \( r \) in space, but rather on a finite mesh. Thus, the integral in Eq. (2.42) must be transformed
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into a discrete sum.

In the reciprocal space representation, the kinetic energy of an orbital can be simply written as

\[
\mathcal{T}_n = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \phi_n, k | \nabla^2 | \phi_n, k \rangle
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\Omega} \sum_G |k + G|^2 |c_{n,G}|^2.
\]

The accuracy of a calculation is determined by the number of plane waves in the series (2.40). In practice, this is commonly controlled through a maximum value for the contribution to the kinetic energy expression, Eq. (2.44), called cut-off energy \( E_c \). Only those vectors \( G \) are taken into account which satisfy

\[
\frac{1}{2} |k + G|^2 \leq E_c.
\]

2.5 Molecular Dynamics

One important achievement of computational chemistry is the possibility of simulating the time evolution of a system. This allows efficient scanning of the configurational space, as compared with geometry optimization procedures which can easily be trapped in local minima which may differ from the correct equilibrium geometry (this is especially true for large molecules like proteins). Furthermore, it makes possible to observe chemically relevant events, compute activation barriers or thermochemical properties.

Depending on the desired timescale and the phenomena one is interested in, different approaches are available. For large systems or long timescales, the least computationally demanding approach is based on classical molecular mechanics (MM). For a more accurate description and to allow for chemical reactions, \( ab \)
2.5 Molecular Dynamics

\textit{initio} molecular dynamics is the method of choice, but the latter is also much more expensive in terms of computational time.

In the following, we will briefly illustrate the principle of the classical molecular dynamics and the Car Parrinello Molecular Dynamics method. Finally, for large systems of which only a small part requires an accurate quantum description, the two previously mentioned methods can be combined into a so-called hybrid QM/MM scheme which will be presented in section 2.7.

2.5.1 Equations of motion

The starting point for the solution of the equation of motion for a system of \( N \) particles interacting via a potential \( \Phi \) is the Lagrangian equation of the motion (79):

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{R}_k} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_k} = 0, \quad (k = 1, \ldots, 3N)
\] (2.46)

where the Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}, \dot{\mathbf{R}}) \) is a function of the generalized coordinates \( R_k \) and their time derivatives \( \dot{R}_k \). Such a Lagrangian is defined in terms of kinetic and potential energies:

\[
\mathcal{L} = T - \Phi.
\] (2.47)

If we consider now a system of atoms, with Cartesian coordinates \( \mathbf{r}_I \) and mass \( M_I \), then the kinetic energy reads:

\[
T = \sum_{I=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} M_I \dot{R}_I^2
\] (2.48)

and the potential energy:

\[
\Phi = V(\mathbf{R}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{R}_N).
\] (2.49)
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Using these definitions, Eq.(2.46) becomes:

\[ M_I \ddot{R}_I = F_I, \tag{2.50} \]

and

\[ F_I = -\nabla_{R_I} V, \tag{2.51} \]

is the force on the atom \( I \). The equation of motion (2.50) can be integrated numerically. The simplest method of integration is the Verlet algorithm (80), which is a direct solution of Eq. (2.50) using a finite-difference representation of the time derivative.

\[ R(t + \delta t) = 2R(t) - R(t - \delta t) + \frac{\delta t^2 F_I(t)}{M_I}. \tag{2.52} \]

In this approach velocities do not appear at all. The velocities are not needed to compute the trajectories, but they are useful for estimating the kinetic energy. They may be obtained using finite differences:

\[ \dot{R}(t + \delta t) = \frac{R(t + \delta t) - R(t - \delta t)}{2\delta t}. \tag{2.53} \]

Whereas Eq.(2.52) is correct to order \( \delta t^4 \) the velocities from Eq.(2.53) are subject to errors of order \( \delta t^2 \) (81). In order to solve this problem, several algorithms were introduced. The one used in the calculations presented in this thesis is called “velocity Verlet” (82) and reads:

\[ R(t + \delta t) = R(t) + \delta t \dot{R}(t) + \frac{\delta t^2 F_I(t)}{M_I}, \tag{2.54} \]

and

\[ \dot{R}(t + \delta t) = \dot{R}(t) + \frac{1}{2M_I} \delta t [F_I(t) + F_I(t + \delta t)]. \tag{2.55} \]
The explicit treatment of the velocities not only gives a more accurate integration scheme, but also allows the time step to be changed during the run and to control the temperature by simple velocity scaling (83).

### 2.5.2 Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics (CPMD)

The molecular dynamics technique requires the calculation of the atomic forces \( F_I \) from the knowledge of the positions of the atoms. In the ab-initio molecular dynamics approach, these forces are directly computed from the electronic orbitals of the system. This can be achieved by a regular solution of the electronic Schrödinger or Kohn-Sham equations for each atomic conformation, which is commonly called Born-Oppenheimer molecular dynamics. The Car-Parrinello approach which is outlined below and used throughout this thesis work is another specific ab-initio molecular dynamics method, which allows the calculation of the atomic forces "on the fly", meaning that the electronic orbitals are propagated in time on the same footing as the atomic coordinates.

In Born-Oppenheimer MD the static electronic structure problem is straightforwardly solved, given in each molecular dynamics step the set of fixed nuclear positions at that instance of time. The instantaneous forces on the nuclei are obtained as gradients of the computed total electronic energy with respect to nuclear positions. After the nuclei have been moved according to these forces substituted into the classical Newtonian equations of motion, the new forces are then obtained by re-solving the KS-equations 2.27 under the new external potential. The advantage of the scheme is a relatively big time step for the integration of molecular dynamic equations, since no electronic dynamics is involved in the time-depended equations of motion for the nuclei, i.e. they can be integrated on the time scale given by nuclear motion. However, this means that the electronic
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density has to be fully optimized self-consistently for every timestep.

An alternative approach for ab-initio MD simulations which has turned out to be more efficient in many cases was introduced by Car and Parrinello in 1985 (48). This scheme has been used extensively since then for simulating real materials previously inaccessible for such studies. The forces acting on the classical nuclear degrees of freedom are calculated from the appropriate electronic ground state along the trajectory. This involves adiabatically evolving of the ground-state electronic wavefunction along with the nuclear motion by introducing a fictitious classical dynamics on the electronic degrees of freedom, (the KS orbitals). Car and Parrinello postulated the following extended Lagrangian:

\[
L(R_I, \dot{R}_I, \varphi_i, \dot{\varphi}_i) = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} M_i \dot{R}_i^2 + \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \mu_i \langle \dot{\varphi}_i | \dot{\varphi}_i \rangle - E_{el}(R_I, n(r)) - \sum_{i,j} \Lambda_{ij} (\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle - \delta_{ij}).
\] (2.56)

Here, \(M_I\) are the masses of the nuclei and \(\mu_i \approx \mu\) are the fictitious masses or inertia parameters associated with the electronic degrees of freedom. \(R_I\) are the position vectors of the nuclei. The last term represents orthonormality requirements for the wavefunctions with associated Lagrangian multipliers \(\Lambda_{ij}\).

The physical total energy of the system, which is a sum of \(E_{el}\) and the kinetic energy of nuclei, remains always close to the exact Born-Oppenheimer surface, with fluctuations of a magnitude comparable to the fictitious kinetic energy of electronic orbitals, the second term in 2.56. In the adiabatic limit, where electronic and nuclear degrees of freedom are decoupled, the Car-Parrinello approach yields accurate nuclear trajectories. Proper adiabaticity is ensured by the appropriate choice of the fictitious electron mass \(\mu\) (84). While a small value necessitates a short timestep for the integration of the equations of motions, too large
of a value will increase the coupling of the nuclear and electronic subsystems. An optimal range for the fictitious electron mass turns out to be from 200 up to 900 a.u. depending on the system under consideration. Also the available computer resources play a role, since smaller $\mu$ leads to faster fictitious electronic dynamics and hence requires a smaller time step, which in turn means more MD steps for the same simulation time.

The Car-Parrinello forces deviate at most instants of time from the exact Born-Oppenheimer force. However, this does not disturb the physical time evolution due to the intrinsic averaging effect of small-amplitude high-frequency oscillations within a few molecular dynamics time steps, i.e. on the sub-femtosecond time scale which is irrelevant for nuclear dynamics.

### 2.5.3 Empirical Force-Fields

In Molecular mechanics calculations, the interactions between particles are modeled using empirical force fields instead of energy expression based on electronic structure calculations. The approach of molecular mechanics is much more radical, assuming a simple empirical "ball-and-spring" model of molecular structure. Atoms (balls) are connected by springs (bonds) that can be stretched or compressed by intra or intermolecular forces. Hence, the basis of molecular mechanics is that a good estimate of the geometry of a molecule can be obtained by taking into account all the forces between the atoms, calculated using a mechanical approach. For example, bonded atoms are treated as if they are held together by forces that behave as mechanical springs, and non-bonded interactions are taken to be made up of attractive and repulsive forces that together produce the typical van der Waals curve. The parameters that define the strength of the springs or the steepness of the van der Waals curves are derived, in the first instance,
from experimental observables such as infrared vibrational frequencies and gas compressibility data. However, the parameters are normally modified empirically to enhance the reproduction of experimentally determined values as geometries or thermodynamic stabilities. To optimize the geometry of a molecule, the total energy that arises from these forces or stresses, is minimized by computational methods. The minimized total energy is taken to be an indication of the strain present in the molecule. It is related to the molecule’s potential energy and stability.

Some of the potential energy functions used to calculate the total strain energy of a molecule are similar to the functions used in the analysis of vibrational spectra. Because the parameters used to derive the strain energies from these functions are fitted quantities, which are based on experimental data (for example X-ray structures). The quality of such calculations is strongly dependent on the reliability of potential energy functions and the corresponding parameters (the force field). Thus, the selection of experimental data to fit the force field is one of the most important steps in a molecular mechanics study. An empirical force field calculation is in essence a method where the structure and the strain energy of an unknown molecule are interpolated from a series of similar molecules with known structures and properties.

The basic idea of classical molecular dynamics approach is to represent every atom (or group of atoms) by an interaction site associated with an unpolarizable point charge, and to compute forces from an empirical force field designed to fit experimental data like geometries and vibrational frequencies for example. The classical equations of motion derived from these forces can then be integrated over time. The AMBER force field (47), which was used in this work, has the
following functional form:

\[
E = \sum_{\text{bonds}} K_r (r - r_{eq})^2 + \sum_{\text{angles}} K_\theta (\theta - \theta_{eq})^2 \\
+ \sum_{\text{dihedrals},n} \frac{V_n}{2} [1 + \cos(n\phi - \gamma)] + \sum_{i<j} \frac{A_{ij}}{R_{ij}^{12}} - \frac{B_{ij}}{R_{ij}^6} + \sum_{i<j} \frac{q_i q_j}{\epsilon R_{ij}}
\]  

(2.57)

The two first terms describe the potential energy due to bond and angle distortions by a simple harmonic potential. Dihedral angles are treated by a sum of \(\frac{2\pi}{n}\) periodic functions to represent the rotational barrier(s) encountered during a complete rotation around the corresponding bond. The Van der Waals interactions are modelled by a function with an attractive part decreasing with \(\frac{1}{R^6}\) and a repulsive part decreasing more rapidly with \(\frac{1}{R^{12}}\). The potential energy contribution arising from this function is very high for small distances, gets to a minimum and then tends to 0 as the distance increases. Finally, the electrostatic interactions are taken into account by a simple Coulomb potential. It has to be mentioned that beyond a cutoff radius, non-bonded interactions are not treated explicitly. Van der Waals interactions are estimated by a continuum model, and electrostatic interactions by the particle-mesh Ewald summation method (79).

Classical methods are well suited for studying large systems – like proteins – for which quantum calculations are impossible up to now. They are also a useful tool for studying compounds in solution, by using a periodically repeated box of solvent. They allow calculations on long timescales (several ns), and make it possible to observe, for example, diffusion or solvent molecule exchange. The main limitation of these models is that they do not take the electronic structure of the molecules explicitely into account. Therefore, they cannot simulate events like chemical reactions, where bonds are broken or formed, photoexcitation or electron transfer. Furthermore, they cannot accurately represent any molecule. The force fields are developed to fit experimental data for a certain type of molecules,
and cannot be transferred to very different ones. For example, one cannot expect a good representation of small strained organic molecules or metal-ligand coordination from a force field which has been developed for proteins without adding ad-hoc parameters, or even changes in the functional form.

Despite its overwhelming success, the bias that is necessarily introduced when the interatomic interactions are described through empirical potentials implies serious drawbacks. Apart from a lack of description of changes in chemical bonding, the transferability of the force field parameters can often be questioned. Moreover, induced polarization and charge transfer effects are difficult to implement and are currently neglected in most MD studies. As a rule of thumb, a first-principles description is necessary when the chemistry of the system plays an important role, e.g. when there is making and breaking of chemical bonds, changing environments, variable coordination, etc. If this is not the case, then it is better to use classical MD, which allows for much longer simulations of much larger samples, leading to a significant improvement in the statistics required to estimate thermodynamic quantities.

**Force-field optimization.** Force field parameters are fitted to reproduce chemical-physical properties of a class of model compounds representative of the biomolecules of interest. To this end quantum mechanics geometry optimizations are used to obtain bond and valence angle equilibrium constants and the dihedral phase and multiplicity, whereas vibrational spectra calculations are used to adjust force constants. Lennard-Jones parameters are fitted to reproduce observable such as enthalpies of vaporization, free energies of solvation and densities of molecular liquids. Atomic charges are optimized to reproduce the QM-determined electrostatic potential (ESP) on a grid surrounding the molecule. As ESP charges tend to be undetermined, a widely used approach is to use restraints during fitting (usually to Hirshfeld charges), a method referred as Restrained ESP (RESP) (85).
Of course gas-phase calculations do no properly represent some of the condensed phase properties, thus a further refinement based on available experimental data is necessary.

### 2.6 Realization of MD in Statistical Ensembles

The idea behind MD simulations echoes the way real-life experiments are performed. The equilibrium behavior of a complex system is studied by following its time evolution in the absence of external impulses and thermodynamic properties are calculated from averages over a sufficient long trajectory. Such a procedure is well founded only for the so-called ergodic systems, which are assumed to fully sample the accessible phase space during the observation (i.e. simulation) time. The ergodic hypothesis for a system is described by the microcanonical distribution (NVE). The dynamics of such system follows the Hamiltonian (or the equivalent Newtonian) laws of motion:

\[
\dot{\mathbf{R}}_i = \frac{\mathbf{p}_i}{m_i} \quad (2.58)
\]

\[
\dot{\mathbf{p}}_i = \mathbf{F}_i \quad (2.59)
\]

However, the conditions of constant volume \(V\), number of particles \(N\) and total energy \(E\) do not fit those in which experiments are usually made. Thus, it is necessary to define schemes allowing for the evolution of systems under conditions of constant volume and temperature \((NVT)\), or constant pressure and temperature \((NPT)\), corresponding to typical real-life situations.

There are several methods for obtaining such an ensemble in a MD simulation. Anderson has proposed to include stochastic “collisions” of the particles, i.e. at
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Intervals some or all of the velocities are resampled according to the Boltzmann distribution (86). This method has the disadvantage that it does not yield a continuous trajectory with well defined conserved quantities anymore. The approach used in this work has been developed based on the extended Lagrangian formalism, originally proposed by Nosé (87), then extended by Nosé-Hoover (87; 88) and finally brought to its final form by Tuckerman and Martyna (83). It is based on the introduction of new, unphysical degrees of freedom that represent the coupling to the heat bath. The equations of motion are defined as follows:

\[ \dot{R}_i = \frac{p_i}{m} \]  
\[ \dot{p}_i = F_i - \frac{p_\eta}{Q} p_i \]  
\[ \dot{\eta} = \frac{p_\eta}{Q} \]  
\[ \dot{p}_\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{3N} \frac{p_i^2}{m_i} - NkT \]

where \( \{R_i\}, \{p_i\} \) are coordinates and momenta of the \( N \) particles with masses \( m_i \), the forces \( F_i \) are derived from the \( N \)-particle potential. The two nonphysical variables \( \eta \) and \( p_\eta \) in Eq. 2.63 regulate the fluctuations in the total kinetic energy of the physical variables, and can be thus regarded as an effective “thermostat” for the physical system. The parameter \( Q \) controls the strength of the coupling to the thermostat: high values result into a low coupling and vice versa.

Additionally, the equation for \( \eta \) helps with the interpretation of the parameter \( Q \). It can be considered the mass of the new, fictitious particle. This mass has to be chosen in a way, that the coupling between the thermostat and the real degrees of freedom is optimal. Often, the fastest vibrational frequency of the
real system is taken as a good reference for $Q$. Eq. (2.62) reveals, that the momentum $p_\eta$ acts as a friction term for the momenta $p_i$. It is increased, if the kinetic energy of the real system is greater than $NkT$, decreased if the energy is smaller. These equations of motion are supposed to reproduce the desired Boltzmann distribution for the canonical ensemble.

Unfortunately it turns out, that even for the harmonic oscillator this is not the case. However, the problem can be cured, if not only a single thermostat, but a chain of thermostat is used. That means, that the thermostat $\eta$ itself is coupled to a second thermostat, which is coupled to a third one, and so on. Tuckerman and Martyna showed, that a chain of length 3 is sufficient to yield almost perfect agreement with the theoretical distribution. The equations of motion for the general case can be found in (83). Finally, it should be noted that within this extended system approach, the conserved quantity is not the total energy of the real system but the extended Hamiltonian below:

$$H' = V(R) + \sum_{i=1}^{3N} \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + \frac{p_\eta^2}{2Q} + NkT\eta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.64)

Therefore, this quantity needs to be monitored during the simulation and is a measure for the quality of the MD run. A good conservation of $H'$ lends credence to the choices of the parameters used.

### 2.7 Hybrid DFT-QM/MM Simulations

is the realistic description of large systems such as biological systems (e.g., reactions catalyzed by enzymes) or of molecules in solution.

In many cases, the realistic description of large systems such as biological systems (e.g., reactions catalyzed by enzymes) or of molecules in solution is not
only prohibitively expensive, but also not particularly interesting. Often, the chemically or biologically relevant parts are located in a small region, as in the case of a molecule in solution or the active site of an enzyme. In such cases, only this sub-system merits the computationally intensive treatment with quantum mechanical methods (QM) whereas the large remaining part of the system can be described with less accurate empirical molecular mechanics (MM) approaches. For this class of problems the so-called quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical (QM/MM) approach offers a satisfactory compromise between accuracy and computational efficiency.

### 2.7.1 The QM/MM Approach to Complex Systems

Pure Quantum calculations are today restricted to the treatment of at most a few hundreds of atoms. Classical Molecular Mechanics, on the other hand, can deal with systems containing $10^5$ - $10^6$ atoms, but cannot take into account the quantum nature of chemical bonds. Since most of times the relevant chemistry of a biological process is restricted to a small subset of atoms, hybrid schemes have been developed that model different parts of the system at a different level of modeling. These schemes allow to evaluate the effect of the biological environment on chemical processes, and represents thus an improvement over a quantum calculation in vacuo. In particular a widely adopted approach is to partition the system into two regions and to treat one at Quantum Mechanics and the other at Molecular Mechanics levels. Such approach, as implemented in the CPMD (49) code, has been used in the works reported in this thesis, and is based on a single hybrid Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{QM} + \mathcal{H}_{MM} + \mathcal{H}_{QM/MM}$$

(2.65)
where \( \mathcal{H}_{QM} \) is the quantum Hamiltonian, \( \mathcal{H}_{MM} \) is the Molecular Mechanics Hamiltonian and \( \mathcal{H}_{QM/MM} \) is the Hamiltonian describing the interaction between the two subsystems. This section partly follows the work by J. VandeVondele (45; 50; 89).

### 2.7.2 The partitioning of the system

A central decision in the modelling of any system within a QM/MM approach is the partitioning into QM subsystem and MM environment. A larger QM model increases the accuracy and predictive power of the simulation, however, the computational cost of a QM/MM simulation is almost completely determined by the size of the QM subsystem, which for a typical plane wave based DFT implementation, scales in general with roughly the third power of the system size. An appropriate partitioning strongly depends on the system under study, and on the quality of both the MM model and the quantum mechanical interaction potential. With increasing accuracy of either of the two facets, more challenging problems can be studied with eventually smaller sizes of the QM system. An adequate QM method will be needed to describe all the chemical and physical aspects of molecular interactions that are not taken into account by the MM model. Given the current limitations of classical force fields in describing reactive events such as bond breaking, charge transfer or polarisation, a minimal QM model should at least contain the parts of the system that undergo significant changes in their electronic structure. One of the simplest examples (see figure 2.1) for a possible choice of QM/MM partitioning is a typical solute/solvent system in which the former is treated quantum mechanically and the latter classical. In this specific case, the interactions between the two subsystems do not involve any chemical bonds and the two zones are perfectly differentiated, that can be taken
into account as described in Section 2.7.3

A very important issue related to QM/MM calculations is the treatment of the QM/MM boundary region. For solvent effects on organic molecules, the division in a QM and a MM system is straightforward and does not cause any problems. However, for a protein this no longer holds; in order to make a division in a QM and a MM system, one has to cut through covalent bonds (see figure 2.2).

For instance, in Retinyl palmitate structure, if the first residue of this amino acid

Figure 2.1: Schematic representation of the separation of the total system into two subsystem used in the QM/MM model.

Figure 2.2: An illustration of the QM/MM partitioning of Retinyl palmitate structure. The active part of the system is treated with a QM method while the rest of the protein is the MM part. The QM model is shown with the solid lines representation, while the MM part is represented using thin lines. The carbon atom labeled with “P” indicates the link-atom or a pseudopotential that is needed to describe the boundary between the QM and the MM fragments of the total molecules.
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acid (consisting of Retinol) is supposed to be put in the QM system due to their highly complex electronic properties and their directional nature of bonding, and the rest residues in the MM system, residue 1 is left with dangling bonds. In this case the way to link the QM and MM part is not straightforward. A problem occurs at this frontier because the electron from QM part involved in the covalent bond with the rest MM is not paired with any other electron because in molecular mechanics the electrons of MM part are not explicitly represented. This unpaired electron would give a radical character in the QM part that would change all the chemistry. For the MM system this poses no problem, as the interaction with the QM system is treated on a MM level in which the QM system can be treated as if it were a MM system.

Several options are available to circumvent the problem with the dangling bonds of the QM system. One option, that is preferred if one uses plane-wave basissets, is to use pseudo potentials. However, for regular QM calculations with atomic basisfunctions this is less straightforward to implement. Another solution is to use localized orbitals, but the most commonly chosen way to solve the problem is to use link atoms. In this method, capping (link) atoms are added to the QM system in order to fulfil the valency of the system, which are normally chosen to be hydrogens. The QM calculation is then performed on the capped QM system, while the capping atoms are not involved in the interaction between the QM and MM systems. We will describe in detail in Section 2.7.4 how a covalent bond can be ’cut’ and ’terminated’, so that the influence of the QM/MM boundary can be kept minimal.
2.7.3 Non-bonded QM/MM interactions

So far the partitioning of the MM and QM system has been described. The next step is the detailed interaction between the QM and the MM system, focusing on the non-bonded interaction terms. These non-bonded interactions are of primary importance since they can impose additional steric constraints on the QM subsystems, and can significantly stabilise certain states by electrostatic interactions.

Different QM/MM techniques are available in the literature (90; 91; 92; 93; 94; 95; 96; 97), which differ for instance in how the interface between the MM and QM parts is treated and or in how the environment is simulated. The non-bonded interactions between the MM and the QM parts are modelled as:

$$H_{NB} = \sum_{i \in MM} q_i \int_\Omega \frac{\rho(r)}{|r - r_i|} + \sum_{i \in MM, j \in QM} v_{vdW}(r_{ij})$$

(2.66)

where $\rho(r)$ is the density of the electrons and the nuclei of the QM system, $q_i$ are the MM partial charges at positions $r_i$, and $v_{vdW}$ is the van der Waals interactions between the MM-atom i and the QM-atom j.

The use of this expression is however problematic if the QM system is close to some positively charged MM atoms since the electrons will be attracted by the MM charges and the density overpolarized. This so-called spill-out effect is non physical and is particularly severe when a plane-wave basis is used as in the CPMD(49) code. To avoid this problem, a screening term is introduced for the point charges which are in proximity of the QM system. The electrostatic interaction of electrons with the close MM atoms (NN) in the non-bonded Hamiltonian is rewritten as
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}_{NB-SR} = \sum_{i \in MM} q_i \int_{\Omega} d^3r \rho(r) v_i(|r - r_i|) \tag{2.67}
\end{equation}

In this definition \( v_i(r) \) is a function which goes to \( \frac{1}{r} \) for large values of \( r \) and to a constant for small \( r \), preventing a too strong attractive interaction between the electronic density \( \rho \) and positive \( q_i \) at short range. It takes the following functional form:

\begin{equation}
v_i(r) = \frac{r_i^n}{r_i^{n+1} - r^{n+1}}, \tag{2.68}
\end{equation}

with \( n = 4 \) and \( r_{ci} \) the covalent radius of atom \( i \).

This functional form resembles that obtained by smearing the MM point charges into Gaussian charge distributions of finite width. In the context of plane-waves, the QM/MM scheme devised above cannot be used for practical purposes without an additional approximation. Indeed, the quantum charge distribution is distributed on a grid of \( N_r \sim 100^3 \) points, so that an exact evaluation of \( E_{QM/MM} \) would involve \( N_r \times N_{MM} \) operations, with \( N_{MM} \geq 10^5 \). Therefore, this interaction term is split into a short and a long-range part, in a way reminiscent of the Ewald method \( (79) \). The direct evaluation of the integral in Eq. (2.67) is done only for a subset (NN) of MM atoms. The latter is defined in such a way as to include all non neutral atoms belonging to charge groups with at least one atom inside a shell of thickness \( R_c \) around any QM atom. The rest of MM atoms belong to the second shell. For those, the electrostatic interaction with the QM system is calculated using for the charge density of the QM system a multipolar expansion around the geometrical center of the quantum system \( \mathbf{r}^\alpha = 1/N_{QM} \sum_J \mathbf{r}_J \) up to the quadrupole order. In particular, the electrostatic
interaction Hamiltonian can be expressed as:

\[
\mathcal{H}_{NB} = \sum_{i \in NN} q_i \int_{\Omega} d^3r \rho(r) v_i(|r - r_i|) + \mathcal{H}_{NB-LR} \tag{2.69}
\]

where \(\mathcal{H}_{NB-LR}\) is defined as:

\[
\mathcal{H}_{NB-LR} = C \sum_{i \notin NN} q_i + \sum_{\alpha} D^\alpha \sum_{i \notin NN} \frac{q_i}{r_i^\alpha} \tau_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \beta} Q^{\alpha\beta} \sum_{i \notin NN} \frac{q_i}{r_i^\alpha} \tau_i^\alpha \tau_i^\beta \tag{2.70}
\]

\[
C = \int dr \rho(r) \tag{2.71}
\]

\[
D^\alpha = \int dr \rho(r) (r^\alpha - \bar{r}^\alpha) \tag{2.72}
\]

\[
Q^{\alpha\beta} = \int dr \rho(r) \left[ 3 (r^\alpha - \bar{r}^\alpha) (r^\beta - \bar{r}^\beta) - \delta^{\alpha\beta} |r - \bar{r}|^2 \right] \tag{2.73}
\]

where \(\tau_i^\alpha = r_i^\alpha - \bar{r}_i^\alpha\); This long range electrostatic scheme is based on a multipole expansion of the QM density and effectively couples the monopole \((C)\), dipole \((D^\alpha)\) and quadrupole \((Q^{\alpha\beta})\) of the electronic charge distribution with the far MM atoms \((LR)\).

This two level coupling scheme can also be refined introducing an intermediate third layer in which the charge density of the QM system is replaced by variational D-RESP charges \((89)\). These charges are obtained by a fit to the electrostatic field at the classical atoms close to the QM-region \((i \in NN)\) and restrained to
the corresponding Hirshfeld charges with a quadratic penalty function. Thus, the
charges will reproduce the electrostatic potential due to the QM-charge density,
which is polarized by the MM-part including thus automatically polarization
effects. Furthermore, since they are evaluated at every MD-step, fluctuations
during the MD can be monitored easily.

In order to evaluate the electrostatic interactions within the first shell, the
potential at each MM-atom in this part has to be calculated according to Eq. (2.74
and thus at each MM-atom the electrostatic potential $V_i$ is given by:

$$ V_i = \int_\Omega d^3r \rho(r) v_i(|r - r_i|) $$

(2.74)

The D-RESP charges are then obtained by minimizing the norm of the fol-
lowing restraining function by a least squares fit:

$$ \mathcal{E} = \sum_{i \in NN} (\sum_{j \in QM} q_j^D / r_{ij} - V_i)^2 + W(q_j^D) $$

(2.75)

where $W$ is a restraining function, which makes use of the Hirshfeld charges
and is of the form:

$$ W(q_j^D) = w_q \sum_{k \in QM} (q_k^D - q_k^H) $$

(2.76)

where $w_q$ is an adjustable parameter and the Hirshfeld charges are given by:
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\[ q_k^H = \int dr \rho^{el}(r) \frac{\rho_k^{at}(|r - r_k|)}{\sum_i \rho_i^{at}(|r - r_i|)} \] (2.77)

where \( \rho_k^{at} \) is the atomic (pseudo) valence charge density of the atom \( k \) and \( Z_k = \int dr \rho_k^{at} \) is its valence. The Hirshfeld charges provide a physically sound restraining set, since they are directly related to the charge distribution of the system and therefore more physical than a restraint to neutral charges.

One limitation of this method is that it still needs a fixed van der Waals parameter for the QM/MM interactions. QM atoms that undergo a significant change in van der Waals radius cannot be described with a time-independent, classical van der Waals radius. In principle, a pseudopotential that takes into account the van der Waals repulsion could be parametrized for all the MM atom types, as was done in (98) for water. If the pseudopotential is local, and has a simple analytical form, this could be included in the code without a significant increase of computational cost.

2.7.4 The bonded QM-MM interactions

In this section, the treatment of bonded QM-MM interactions is described, i.e. how a chemical bond through the QM-MM interface is treated in this approach. This is an important question, and several approaches exist in the literature (99; 100; 101; 102; 103; 104). A good strategy is to design the cut in such a way that the MM and QM system have, as computed based on the force field charges, an integer charge, since otherwise artifacts in the electrostatic interactions will be introduced. The most tricky part is the cut between QM and MM system since the valence shell of the QM atom, which is part of a mixed QM-MM bond is not saturated, therefore the cut between QM and MM system has to be treated in
special ways. An unsaturated bond of the QM system is a strong perturbation of the electronic structure. Therefore the valence has to be saturated in such a way as to make this perturbation as small as possible. The simplest solution is to cap this bond with an atom or a group that makes a single covalent bond, e.g. a hydrogen, a fluorine or a methyl group. If a cut through a single, non-polar bond that is far away from the reactive center can be made the effect of those different substituents will be small and the effect of the capping can be understood. If the cut is close to the reactive center, through a conjugated system or a highly polar bond, the effect will be larger. In that case, a careful consideration of the minimum number of unperturbed bonds between the reactive center and the cut will be necessary.

There exist several ways to remedy this problem, such as the link-atom approach, the frozen orbitals or monovalent pseudopotentials. In the first approach, the last QM-atom is saturated by additional hydrogen atoms that is not present in the real system, and that is located in an unphysical location, e.g. a hydrogen between the C and P atom in figure 2.2. It should be pointed out that this strategy introduces additional artifacts and a correction for the interactions between the ghost atoms and the classical environment is required. The correct treatment of this additional atom is problematic in the electrostatic coupling approach. The QM-charge density will however be perturbed due to the presence of additional hydrogen atoms.

The second consists of the use of a monovalent pseudopotential that replaces one of the physical atoms situated at the position of the MM involved in the bond crossing the QM/MM interface. The pseudopotential is empirical and has only one valence electron. The parametrisation is such that it reproduces binding properties typically found in a generic C-C apolar bond. As shown in figure 2.2, we remark that from the partitioning point of view, the P boundary atom should
be considered a quantum atom.

The advantages of this approach are that no additional degrees of freedom are introduced and that more accurate pseudopotentials can be parametrized for specific situations. E.g. a boundary P can be parametrized to have electronic properties that resemble more those of the backbone than those of a methyl group. It can be checked that the HOMO, LUMO, electronic gap, proton affinities of the QM/MM system reproduce as good as possible these properties of a reference full QM system.

In the boundary pseudopotential approach, the binding geometry over the interface can be kept by the standard bonded terms of the force field. These terms, i.e. bonds, angles, dihedrals, and exclusions are introduced, as soon as one of the atoms involved in these terms belongs to the classical partition. Note that these classical terms have not been parametrized to describe chemical reactions and might have limited accuracy in cases where this boundary is strongly distorted. Rearrangements of the QM system should not be hindered by these boundary terms that might, as in the case of dihedral (1-4) interactions, extend quite far into the quantum region. In Chapter 4, a new capping model is presented that does not have this unwanted behavior; furthermore, the introduction of the new parameters of capping atom is corrected for afterwards, and in principle its introduction however be modelled accurately.

2.8 NMR chemical shifts

Knowledge of the electronic density (or electronic orbitals) is only one of the goals of computational chemistry. In itself, the electronic density has only a limited value, but many important physical and chemical quantities can be derived from it. The most prominent ones are the equilibrium geometries and corresponding
molecular energies, as well as atomic forces and dynamical conformations at finite temperatures. Another very important class of quantities are response properties, like IR-, UV-, Raman and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) frequencies, which can provide direct comparison of the computed spectroscopic parameters with measured spectra. They can also be used for an indirect validation of computational results obtained for properties which are not easily accessible from experiment, like structural properties of low-ordered systems or the character of an H-bond network. Being included in the same computational framework, the calculation of spectroscopic parameters is a tool to enable the dialogue between theory and experiment.

The NMR chemical shift is derived from the Larmor frequency of the nuclear spin of an atom, which describes the precession of the spin when the system is placed in a magnetic field. Since the electrons also react to the external field, the total magnetic field responsible for this precession is the superposition of the external field and the field induced by the electronic response. The latter is heterogeneous field created by local ring currents of electronic orbitals. The interaction of electron spins with external magnetic field is not considered here, since for the closed-shell system the total electron spin is equal to zero. Hence, non-equivalent atoms feel different total magnetic fields, and their nuclear spins therefore have different energy levels. The nuclear shielding tensor is defined as a proportionality matrix between the induced field at the position of a nucleus and the externally applied field:

$$\sigma(R) = \frac{\partial B_{\text{ind}}(R)}{\partial B_{\text{ext}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.78)

In order to obtain this tensor numerically, the impact of the magnetic field on the
electronic orbitals has to be calculated. It acts through a vector potential \( \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \) in the Hamiltonian of the electronic system, whose effect on the orbitals is usually calculated by perturbation theory. The outline of the theoretical framework described in detail in (105; 106) will be presented in the next sections.

### 2.8.1 Magnetic perturbation theory

According to the Maxwell’s equation, a magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) is divergence-free and therefore can be represented by a vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) which has to satisfy the relation

\[
\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})
\]

(2.79)

The vector potential is an auxiliary quantity, it has no direct physical meaning. For a given magnetic field, a whole class of vector potentials exist which fulfill the constituting equation 2.79.

It contains a degree of freedom in form of an arbitrary scalar function \( \Phi_g(\mathbf{r}) \) whose gradient may be added to \( \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \) without affecting the resulting magnetic field:

\[
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \to \mathbf{A}'(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) + \nabla \Phi_g(\mathbf{r})
\]

(2.80)

\[
\mathbf{B}' = \nabla \times (\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) + \nabla \Phi_g(\mathbf{r})) = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{B}.
\]

(2.81)

\( \Phi_g(\mathbf{r}) \) is called the gauge function, and its choice should not affect any physical results (gauge invariance). A typical choice for \( \mathbf{A} \) in the case of a desired homogeneous magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) is

\[
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_g) \times \mathbf{B}
\]

(2.82)
where a particular class of gauge functions was taken. It obviously satisfies eq. (2.79). A cyclic variable $\mathbf{R}_g$ is called the gauge origin of the vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ and describes a translation of the origin of the coordinate system by $\mathbf{R}_g$. This gauge origin does not change the physics of the system, but furthermore it will be shown that a careful choice of the gauge function is essential for ensuring good numerical accuracy.

The incorporation of the magnetic field into the system’s Hamiltonian is done by replacing the standard momentum operator by its generalized expression in the presence of a magnetic field (107):

$$\hat{p} \rightarrow \hat{\pi} = \hat{p} - e \mathbf{A}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$$

(2.83)

This generalized momentum replaces the standard momentum operator $\hat{p}$ in the Hamiltonian. Developing the latter in powers of the magnetic field (i.e. in powers of $\mathbf{A}$), this yields a linear and a quadratic term:

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)} = -\frac{e}{m} \hat{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$$

(2.84)

$$\mathcal{H}^{(2)} = -\frac{e^2}{2m} \mathbf{A}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \cdot \mathbf{A}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}).$$

(2.85)

with the momentum operator $\hat{p}$, and the charge $e$ and mass $m$ of the electron. These modifications of the field-free Hamiltonian are treated within perturbation theory and represent the first and second order perturbation Hamiltonians.

Density functional perturbation theory (DFPT), also known as the coupled perturbed Kohn-Sham (KS) method (105; 106) provides the electronic linear response $|\psi_i^{(1)}\rangle$ to the magnetic field.

The DFT energy functional in the Kohn-Sham (KS) scheme denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{KS}$, is modified by an additional term $\mathcal{E}_B$ which describes the effect of an external
magnetic field $B$. The additional contribution is very small compared to $\mathcal{E}_{KS}$ and can therefore be treated perturbatively:

\[ \mathcal{E}_{KS} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{KS} + \mathcal{E}_B. \quad (2.86) \]

Eq. (2.86) is expanded in powers of the perturbation, i.e. in the strength $B$ of the magnetic field:

\[ \mathcal{E}_{KS} + \mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}^{(0)} + B \mathcal{E}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} B^2 \mathcal{E}^{(2)} + \ldots \quad (2.87) \]

The variational principle is applied to all orders that arise from the expansion (106). At zero order, this formalism yields the usual Kohn-Sham equations:

\[ \mathcal{H}^{(0)} |\varphi^{(0)}_i\rangle = \varepsilon_i |\varphi^{(0)}_i\rangle \quad (2.88) \]

with the KS-Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$, its energy eigenvalues $\varepsilon_i$ and the corresponding unperturbed Kohn-Sham orbitals $\varphi^{(0)}_i$. The expansion of the Hamiltonian and the orbitals is done in complete analogy to Eq. (2.87):

\[ \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + B \mathcal{H}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} B^2 \mathcal{H}^{(2)} \quad (2.89) \]

\[ |\varphi_i\rangle = |\varphi^{(0)}_i\rangle + B |\varphi^{(1)}_i\rangle + \frac{1}{2} B^2 |\varphi^{(2)}_i\rangle + \ldots \quad (2.90) \]

The second order expansion of the energy functional results in a linear equation (Eq. (34) of (105)) for the wavefunction components $\varphi^{(1)}_i$ which are first order in the magnetic field:

\[ \sum_j \langle \mathcal{H}^{(0)} \delta_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ij} \rangle |\varphi^{(1)}_j\rangle = -\mathcal{H}^{(1)} |\varphi^{(0)}_i\rangle \quad (2.91) \]

\[ \varepsilon_{ij} = \langle \varphi^{(0)}_j | \mathcal{H}^{(0)} |\varphi^{(0)}_i\rangle \quad (2.92) \]
where $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ is the perturbation Hamiltonian. In the original formulation of ref. (106), $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}|\varphi_j^{(0)}\rangle$ was written as the derivative of a perturbation functional $\mathcal{E}_B$ with respect to the KS orbitals. In the case of a finite molecular system, it is given by Eq. (2.84)

### 2.8.2 Electronic current density

The electronic current density at a position $r'$ is defined as the expectation value of the current operator:

$$\hat{j}_{r'} = \frac{e}{2m} \left[ \hat{\pi} |r'\rangle \langle r'| + |r'\rangle \langle r'| \hat{\pi} \right].$$ \hfill (2.93)

Using first order perturbation theory, one can show (105) that the first non-vanishing term in the expansion of the expectation value for the induced electronic current density is given by:

$$j^{(1)}(r') = \frac{e}{2m} \sum_j \langle \varphi_j^{(0)} | \left( \hat{p} |r'\rangle \langle r'| + |r'\rangle \langle r'| \hat{p} \right) |\varphi_j^{(1)}\rangle + \text{c.c.}$$

$$-\frac{e^2}{m} \sum_j A(r') \langle \varphi_j^{(0)} |r'\rangle \langle r'| \varphi_j^{(0)}\rangle$$ \hfill (2.94)

The two terms of the expression 2.94 are called para– and diamagnetic current densities, respectively. Both contributions individually depend on the gauge, whereas the total current $j = j^{(1)}(r')$ is gauge-independent. However, the two contributions are large numbers and have opposite signs. For the choice of the vector potential given in eq. 2.82, $A(r)$ is linear in the gauge origin $R_g$. Therefore, the diamagnetic current also grows linearly in $R_g$, and paramagnetic term must compensate this in order to fulfill the invariance of the total current. Thus, for large distances $|r - R_g|$, the current density $j$ results from the cancellation
of two large terms, making the actual calculation rather challenging. In a computer simulation using a finite basis set, the gauge invariance of $R_g$ is no longer numerically verified.

To circumvent the problem, the so-called “$R_g = r$”-variant of the continuous set of gauge transformations (CSGT method (108)) is used (105). In this approach, for each point $r'$ in space at which the current density is calculated, the gauge origin $R_g$ is set to $r'$. Thus, the last term of eq. 2.94 vanishes analytically and the cancellations of large numbers no longer occur.

In a QM/MM framework, the gauge is not affected by the presence of the external potential of the MM atoms. From the view of the magnetic linear response calculation, the only effect of the MM system is the change in the local electrostatic potential.

### 2.8.3 Induced field, susceptibility and shielding

Once the induced electronic current density 2.94 is properly defined and can be computed numerically, the induced inhomogeneous magnetic field can be obtained by the Biot-Savart law:

$$B^{\text{ind}}(r) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int d^3r' \frac{r' - r}{|r' - r|^3} \times j(r'), \quad (2.95)$$

where $\mu_0$ is the permeability of the vacuum. The integral in eq. (2.95) is well-known as the solution of the Poisson equation for the electrostatic field. Since the system is treated under periodic boundary conditions, the current density $j(r)$ is periodic and can be represented in reciprocal space. The difficulty lies in the point that $\frac{1}{|r' - r|}$ is not periodic. Under periodic boundary conditions, the convergence of eq. (2.95) in reciprocal space is not assured generally; but with the exception of the $G = 0$ component. In this case, its Fourier transform can
be computed in a straightforward way (81) and reads:

\[
B^{\text{ind}}(G \neq 0) = \mu_0 i \frac{G}{|G|^2} \times j(G),
\]

(2.96)

where the \(j(G)\) denote the vectors of Fourier coefficients, defined in analogy to equation 2.42. The \(G = 0\) component of the field depends on the bulk magnetic susceptibility tensor, \(\chi\), and the shape of the sample. In general, it is expressed as:

\[
B_0^{\text{ind}} = \kappa \chi B^{\text{ext}}
\]

(2.97)

with the macroscopic bulk susceptibility \(\chi\) and a prefactor which describes the dependence on the macroscopic geometry of the system. The bulk susceptibility \(\chi\) can be expressed (105) as a function of the orbital electronic current:

\[
\chi = \frac{\mu_0}{2\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial B^{\text{ext}}} \int_\Omega \mathbf{r} \times j(\mathbf{r}),
\]

(2.98)

where the integral is done over one unit cell of volume \(\Omega\). In the case of a spherical system, \(\kappa\) is given by \(\kappa = 2/3\) (105).

As we already discussed above, the chemical shift tensor, one of the main physical observables calculated in this work, is defined as the proportionality factor between the induced and the externally applied magnetic field at the positions of the nuclei:

\[
\sigma(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{\partial B^{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{R})}{\partial B^{\text{ext}}}. \tag{2.99}
\]

Hence, it can be straightforwardly calculated since the induced magnetic field is known.
In experiment, this shielding tensor is measured and quoted relative to a reference material, e.g. for hydrogen commonly tetramethylsilane (TMS). This yields the chemical shift tensor:

\[
\delta(R) = \sigma^{ref}(R) - \sigma(R) \tag{2.100}
\]

The trace of this tensor is the central quantity measured in NMR spectroscopy.

### 2.8.4 Effect of pseudopotentials on NMR chemical shifts

A special note has to be devoted to the use of pseudopotentials in combination with the nuclear shielding calculations. In the analysis of chemical bonding, this frozen core approximation has been shown to work reliably, since only changes in the valence region are of interest. The chemical shift, however, is extremely sensitive to the core region, because the interaction between nuclear spin and electronic current is proportional to \(1/r^2\). Thus, it is not clear a priori whether a pseudopotential implementation can give meaningful results at all.

The normconserving pseudopotentials which have been used in the context of the calculations are used to represent the ionic core and the inner electrons for non-hydrogen atoms. Therefore, these computed nuclear shieldings do not account for the effect of core electrons, which is a matter of recent discussions, especially for very heavy atoms (109; 110).

For first-row atoms, there is a variety of studies in which the performance of the pseudopotential approximation was generally found to be acceptable (5; 31; 32; 105; 111; 112; 113; 114). The absolute values of NMR chemical shifts for first-row atoms may not be as accurate as in all-electron calculations (115). It turns out that often, the contribution of the core orbitals to the chemical shift is almost constant with respect to the chemical environment of the atom: however, It is
believed that the trends for phenomena such as solvation are well reproduced and reliable (22; 34; 116). There is a reconstruction scheme of Pickard and Mauri (115) which permits a significant reduction of this error, but in this work, the aim is to focus on the effects of solvation on the hydrogen NMR chemical shifts, which are not significantly affected by this problem.

2.8.5 Combination of NMR and QM/MM

In the previous section, the theoretical description of combining spectroscopic properties and QM/MM method which are of particular interest in this work have been outlined. In most QM/MM schemes, the interaction of the classical atoms with the quantum part is described by means of an external field that is added to the quantum Hamiltonian. In this approach, this field represents the effects of point charges placed at the positions of the classical atoms. The implementation of the QM/MM interaction Hamiltonian into an electronic structure calculation scheme has the effect of polarizing the quantum system by means of the electrostatic field of the classical point charges. Both the ground state Kohn-Sham orbitals as well as the spectroscopic properties of the system will be affected by this polarization.

It follows from the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem that the change in the electrostatic potential due to the additional classical field will result in new KS orbitals and a different electronic density. Together with a suitable interaction scheme for the QM/MM interface region, this is the basic ingredient for the total energy calculation (45).

In addition, the perturbation theory equations themselves are modified. Both the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$, its matrix elements Eq.(2.92) and the unperturbed KS-orbitals $|\varphi^{(0)}\rangle$ must be replaced by those of the QM/MM calculation.
After substitution into Eq. (2.91), the new linear response orbitals $|\varphi^{(1)}\rangle$ can readily be inserted into the formula for the induced current density, Eq. (2.94). Finally, the induced magnetic field is given by Eq. (2.95), yielding the NMR chemical shielding tensors, Eq. (2.99), within the QM/MM framework.
Chapter 3

NMR solvent shifts of adenine in aqueous solution from hybrid QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations

3.1 Introduction

The focus of this chapter is the calculation of NMR properties of Adenine in aqueous solution. The solvation of such molecules is of crucial importance in biological systems, where highly important structural information, such as protonation states, can be obtained and verified with the combination of experimental NMR (117; 118; 119) and first principles calculations (120; 121; 122). The influence of the aqueous environment on the NMR chemical shift is called solvent shift, a well known phenomenon in magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

When modeling extended liquid systems, and in particular for molecules in aqueous solution, the highly fluctuating dynamical structure of the molecules
of the liquid must be dealt in an appropriate way. In many cases, molecular
dynamics simulations within an all-atom scheme of the solvent molecules can
yield a suitable description of the relevant effects, providing an atomistic time-
dependent picture of the molecular structure (123).

In biology and biochemistry, the solvation of hydrophilic species is normally
not specifically investigated, although it represents a highly relevant issue in
most biological environments. Also in the area of quantum chemistry, calcula-
tions of solvent shifts and related phenomena based on realistic molecular dy-
namics simulations at ambient conditions are rare in literature, in spite of their
great importance. Most electronic structure based studies rely on more simpli-
fied embedding schemes, such as continuum approaches (90; 91; 92; 93) or other
models (94; 95; 96; 97). Further, many static cluster calculations of a set of
molecular configurations with a few specifically placed solvent molecules exist in
literature. However, the most realistic description of spectroscopic solvent effects
via Car-Parrinello (48) and QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations (124) in
combination with an adequate sampling of the resulting trajectories is still an
exception in literature. Such calculations are especially valuable in non-standard
environments, where predictions based on interaction scheme are difficult to ap-
ply.

The present chapter deals with molecular dynamics simulations based on a
hybrid quantum mechanical/mechanical modeling (QM/MM) approach, which is
capable of describing atomistic details of solvation, using density functional the-
ory for the description of the electronic structure of the solute (37; 45; 124). The
solvent is represented by classical (non-quantum) point charges, interacting elec-
trostatically and sterically with the solute molecule. This approach is combined
with the QM/MM calculation of NMR chemical shifts, which enables a direct
comparison of the results to existing spectroscopic experiments (44; 55; 125; 126).
The particular feature of this present implementation (55; 105; 114) is that how to define a special repulsive potential between the classical atoms and the quantum electrons, which is meant to mimic the Pauli repulsion due to the “classical electrons”, which are not present in the molecular mechanics description of the classical atoms.

Besides a benchmark study on a series of molecular dimers, intended to verify this approach, to gauge its accuracy and to understand its capabilities and limits, my focus lies on the study of the adenine molecule in aqueous solution (see fig. 3.1). The electronic structure as well as the NMR spectrum of an isolated adenine molecule have already been calculated on various levels of theory (119). However, the complex interplay of the structure and dynamics of hydrogen bonding networks – leading to the solvation effects mentioned above – in a solvated

Figure 3.1: Illustration of the adenine molecule in aqueous solution. The numbering scheme is also shown.
biomolecule system can not yet be computed by accurate ab-initio calculations at correlated levels of theory. While Møller-Plesset (MP2) and coupled-cluster (CC) calculations are highly precise (24; 26; 127; 128; 129), they are still computationally too expensive to be applied to dynamical problems involving a large number of atoms. Hence, in this chapter, the writer relied on Kohn-Sham density functional theory for the quantum-mechanical part (13; 27), and the Amber force field for the classical surroundings (47).

### 3.1.1 Hybrid quantum-classical (QM/MM) molecular dynamics simulations

Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have been performed of an adenine molecule solvated in water, using the CPMD simulation package (49; 81; 130) and applying a quantum mechanical/mechanical modeling (QM/MM) Hamiltonian coupling scheme (45) for the description of the solvent.

The QM part is based on Kohn-Sham density functional theory (DFT (13)), relying on the BLYP exchange-correlation functional (17; 18) and a plane-wave basis set with a 70 Ry kinetic energy cutoff for the valence orbitals. Martins-Troullier pseudopotentials (74) were used to describe the interaction of the valence shell electrons with the nuclei and their core electrons. The QM part contained only the solute molecule and was placed inside a cubic simulation box of 12Å lattice parameter. The interaction with the periodic images was removed using the Tuckerman solver for the Poisson equation (83).

The classical atoms of the solvent were modeled with a modified version of the GROMOS96 simulation library (46), using the TIP3P water model (131). The solute was solvated by 826 water molecules in a cubic box of (30Å)³ size. This lattice parameter was obtained from the average box size in an initial NPT
molecular dynamics equilibration run at P=1bar. A cutoff distance of Ewald sums at 10Å was used for the classical nonbonded interactions, while the bonds involving hydrogen atoms were kept constrained via the SHAKE algorithm (132).

The inclusion of the QM/MM interaction Hamiltonian into an electronic structure calculation scheme has the effect of polarizing the quantum system by means of the electrostatic field of the classical point charges. Both the ground-state Kohn–Sham orbitals as well as the spectroscopic properties of the system are affected by this polarization, because the electrostatic field from the MM charges is present in the unperturbed KS-Hamiltonian.

For the solvated molecules, an initial equilibration run was performed using purely classical MD at T=300K. The overall simulation length was 1 ns, using an integration time step of 1.5fs. The QM/MM Car-Parrinello simulations were started from the last frame of the classical simulation. The overall duration of the CP-MD-QM/MM simulations was 12ps with a time step of 4 a.u.(~0.1 fs). In both the classical and the QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations, the temperature was held constant by a chain of Nosé-Hoover thermostats at T=300K with a coupling frequency of 2000 cm$^{-1}$.

3.1.2 QM/MM NMR chemical shift calculations

As the basis for study of spectroscopic calculations, trajectories obtained previously via canonical QM/MM Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics simulations were used, in the spirit of ref. (8). For consistency, the computational setup in the calculation of the spectroscopic parameters was the same as in the QM/MM MD simulations. The NMR chemical shifts were calculated via density functional perturbation theory (106; 133) for 40 snapshots extracted from the aqueous solution. The set of computed nuclear shieldings was subsequently time-averaged, as
indicated by \( \langle \rangle \) brackets in eq. (3.2). This averaging yields single numerical values compatible with experiment, where the same averaging process takes place, since the experimental measurement process has a finite duration (of the order of microseconds).

In this respect, it is also important to verify that within the relatively short simulation time of 12ps, all relevant relaxation processes have taken place, and that the statistical averages of our spectroscopic parameters are accurate and well converged. In order to verify this point, standard deviations of the proton chemical shifts have been computed during the MD run.

Following the experimental convention, chemical shifts are quoted relative to computed nuclear shieldings of standard reference systems. As for the bulk susceptibility correction, a sample with spherical shape was assumed (105; 112). The hydrogen and nitrogen shifts are given with respect to the computed shieldings of tetramethylsilane (TMS) and nitromethane, respectively:

\[
\delta^H(X) = \frac{1}{3} \langle \text{Tr} \left[ \sigma^H(\text{Si(CH}_3)_4) - \sigma^H(X) \right] \rangle \quad (3.1)
\]

\[
\delta^N(X) = \frac{1}{3} \langle \text{Tr} \left[ \sigma^N(\text{NO}_2\text{CH}_3) - \sigma^N(X) \right] \rangle. \quad (3.2)
\]

For the solvated adenine, the NMR chemical shifts have been computed from about 40 snapshots, all taken from a QM/MM molecular dynamics trajectory of a total duration of 12ps. The nuclear shieldings have been calculated at five different levels regarding the treatment of the solvating water environment:

1. As a reference, an isolated adenine molecule was optimized within the computational setup used for the QM/MM simulations, but with no solvent.

2. To estimate the purely geometrical effect of the solvent, the snapshots from the QM/MM run were taken without the classical water molecules. In
other words, the adenines were computed as isolated molecules, but in the geometries extracted from the QM/MM run.

3. The polarization effect of the solvent was obtained by including the classical point charges from the QM/MM model (45) into the ground-state Hamiltonian in the NMR calculation. This involves a Coulomb interaction between the classical point charges and the electrons, which needs to be smoothened in order to remove the $1/r$ singularity:

$$v_{QM/MM}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_R q_R \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}|^4 - r_c^4}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}|^5 - r_c^5}. \quad (3.3)$$

Here, $q_R$ and $\mathbf{R}$ are the charges and positions of the classical particles, and $r_c$ is a cutoff radius, which is set equal to the covalent radius of the respective atom.

4. Using the same computational QM/MM setup, further chemical shift calculations were performed with an additional repulsive potential according to ref. (55). This potential is intended to represent the (missing) Pauli-type repulsion effect of the electrons from the classically treated atoms onto the actual electrons in the QM region (134). It has the following form:

$$v^{ext}(\mathbf{r}) = v_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_I}{R_{i,\text{cov}}} \right)^2 \right] \quad (3.4)$$

where $\mathbf{R}_I$ are the atomic positions, $R_{i,\text{cov}}$ the corresponding covalent radii, and $v_0$ is a global amplitude for the potential. This empirical potential of Gaussian shape was originally designed for $^1$H NMR chemical shift calculations of liquid water. Here, the transferability of this empirical potential is investigated via extend to the $^1$H and $^{15}$N shifts of a more complex system. This computational setup is denoted QM/MM+REP in the following.
5. Finally, NMR calculations have been performed using an implicit treat-
ment of the solvent within the PCM model (135; 136). To this purpose, an
isolated adenine has been geometry optimized at B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,p)
level, surrounding the molecule with the SCRF-IEFPCM polarized contin-
um model (137). In this model, a self-consistent reaction field technique
is employed, using a solvent cavity which is defined by a set of overlapping
spheres. For the dielectric constant the value of $\varepsilon_r=78.39$ (for water at
$T=300K$) was chosen. The proton and nitrogen NMR chemical shifts were
calculated with the same computational setup, with and without solvent.
All calculations used the Gaussian 03 code (138).

In this way, we can separate out the contributions of the aqueous solvation from
the geometry of the solute, the polarisation of the electronic orbitals and the
influence of an additional repulsion potential in the QM/MM scheme.

The NMR chemical shifts calculations which have been done in the context
of this chapter are based on a plane-wave pseudopotential implementation. The
chemical shift, however, is extremely sensitive to the core region. This prob-
lem has been further investigated, by comparing also $^{13}$C NMR chemical shifts
between the pseudopotential and all-electron implementations, which have been
summarized in table 3.1. The nuclear shieldings were referenced to those of ben-
zene computed under the same computational setup and then corrected with the
experimental TMS-shift of benzene:

$$\delta^\text{TMS}(X) = \sigma_{\text{calc}}(C_6H_6) - \sigma_{\text{calc}}(X) + \delta^\text{TMS}_\text{exp}(C_6H_6)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

It turns out that there are sizeable deviations in the chemical shift anisotropy,
which is due to the somewhat smaller spread of the chemical shift eigenvalues
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>Isolated</th>
<th>Isolated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geometry:</td>
<td></td>
<td>G03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{(1)}</td>
<td>162.9(151.8)</td>
<td>161.8(101.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{(2)}</td>
<td>126.7(123.3)</td>
<td>122.9( 77.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{(3)}</td>
<td>142.6(107.6)</td>
<td>143.4( 73.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{(4)}</td>
<td>159.5(142.4)</td>
<td>157.6(101.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_{(5)}</td>
<td>164.7(139.6)</td>
<td>164.1( 92.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Calculated values of the $^{13}$C NMR chemical shifts of adenine relative to TMS obtained with a quantum chemistry code relying on localized basis sets (138) and with the CPMD code. The nuclear shieldings were referenced to those of benzene computed under the same computational setup and then corrected with the experimental TMS-shift of benzene: $\delta_{(x)}^{TMS} = \sigma_{calc}(C_6H_6) - \sigma_{calc}(x) + \delta_{(exp)}^{TMS}(C_6H_6)$ in a pseudopotential calculation. However, the anisotropies are not very large on the absolute scale. This leads to a good mutual agreement for the isotropic carbon chemical shifts which in turn confirms the principal validity of the present approach.

3.2 QM/MM NMR benchmark calculations on hydrogen bonded molecular dimers

3.2.1 Water dimer

In this section, the accuracy of this present QM/MM NMR implementation is gauged by applying it to a series of hydrogen-bonded molecular dimers. The NMR parameters of these systems can easily be computed in a standard QM fashion, in order to obtain a reference for the QM/MM calculations. In this way, the performance of the QM/MM NMR approach will be illustrated, i.e. explore its capabilities, but also learn about its limits. To this purpose, the chemical shift changes upon variation of the oxygen-oxygen distances have been computed.
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The first system, a water dimer, is shown in figure 3.2 (top). The $^1$H NMR chemical shifts of the H-bond donor and acceptor protons as a function of the dimer separation ($R_{OO}$) are investigated. In the QM/MM prescription, this requires two different calculations, one with the donor molecule in the quantum part (the acceptor water being treated with the classical point charge model TIP3P), and another one with the inverse repartitioning.

Figure 3.2: Illustration of the two benchmark systems: The water dimer and the methanol dimer. For all systems, NMR chemical shift dependences as a function of the oxygen-oxygen distance have been computed. The three configurations have been considered: (i) both molecules quantum-mechanically (QM), (ii) the H-bond donor molecule as QM and the acceptor molecule classically (MM), and (iii) the H-bond acceptor molecule as QM with the donor as MM. The geometries of the clusters were not re-optimized for the different distances.
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Figure 3.3: Distance dependence of the $^1$H NMR chemical shift of the donor and acceptor protons in a water dimer as illustrated in fig. 3.2, using a full QM, the standard QM/MM, and QM/MM+REP treatment of the different components. The calculation in which the donor molecule is treated quantum-mechanically is shown using solid lines, and the results for the quantum-mechanical acceptor are represented by dotted lines. The shifts are referenced to the corresponding isolated molecule.

The results for the $^1$H NMR chemical shifts are presented in figure 3.3. While the standard QM/MM implementation, represented by crosses ($\times$) performs quite well for a medium and large separation of the two waters, its performance is poor for the strongly hydrogen bonded dimer at close distance (below $R_{OO} \leq 3\text{Å}$). Especially for the donating proton, the QM/MM shifts show large deviations from the full-QM results. However, the inclusion of the additional repulsive potential between MM atoms and electrons yields a significantly better agreement in this case, as shown using square symbols ($\square$) in fig. 3.3. The QM/MM+REP
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shifts of the donor hydrogen differ from the full-QM reference results by less than 0.4ppm. The same value holds for the acceptor proton, although here, the repulsive potential does not yield an improvement with respect to the QM/MM calculation. A problem of this kind had already been found in an earlier study (44) and mentioned in the previous work (55). The Pauli-mimicking potential is not able to represent the full characteristics of a strong hydrogen bond for the acceptor molecule. So far, no solution to this issue is known.

Figure 3.4: Distance dependence of the $^{17}$O NMR chemical shifts of the donor and acceptor protons in a water dimer as illustrated in fig. 3.2 (left), using a full QM, the standard QM/MM, and QM/MM+REP treatment of the different components. The shifts are referenced to the corresponding isolated molecule.

A similar problem is observed for the oxygen chemical shifts, shown in figure 3.4. While the standard QM/MM scheme leads to a shift dependence of the correct shape, the QM/MM+REP values are actually further off with respect to the full-QM reference shifts. Only at comparably large separation, the long-range
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dipolar character dominates the water-water interaction. There, the point charge model performs well and the three approaches converge to the same dependence.

The attribute of these discrepancies mainly to the way the repulsive potential is constructed. Its functional form is meant to mimic a Pauli-like repulsion, which the electrons of the acceptor-oxygen have on the donor hydrogen, in the configuration donor=QM/acceptor=MM. The polarizing function of the donor-hydrogen on the lone pairs of the acceptor-oxygen in the configuration acceptor=QM/donor=MM are more difficult to model with such a simple potential. Probably, more elaborate techniques must be used here, such as specially designed pseudopotentials on the classical atoms, along the lines of refs. (54; 134; 139; 140).

In comparison with similar calculations from the Karplus group (44), the hydrogen-oxygen distance $R_{OH}$ is used instead of $R_{OO}$, the present results show the same general trends. The variation of the shift of the donor proton in the standard QM/MM scheme is less pronounced in this calculation; it is believed that this effect is due to the slightly different electrostatic QM/MM interaction potential, which is smoother (see eq. (3.3)) compared to the one used by Karplus. For the H-bond donor molecule, our additional repulsive potential can slightly improve the quality of the NMR parameters.

3.2.2 Methanol dimer

As an additional benchmark system, the methanol dimer has been looked at in detail, as illustrated in the bottom of fig. 3.2. Also for this dimer, the $^1$H NMR chemical shift variation has been analyzed as a function of the O–O distance.

In this system, a picture similar to the case of the water dimer emerges, although now, both the H-bond donor and acceptors are alcoholic OH groups. The shift of the donor proton changes considerably, with a magnitude comparable
to that of the water dimer, while the acceptor proton shift is almost unaffected by the hydrogen bond. The amplitude of the chemical shift dependence with OO-distance is slightly weaker (see fig. 3.5), but the effect is relatively small.

As in the case of the water dimer discussed above, the standard QM/MM interaction scheme via the smoothened Coulomb potential from eq. (3.3) does not appear to be suitable for a quantitative representation of the shift variation. Again, the weaker performance of the present conventional QM/MM calculation is attributed to a somewhat too strong smoothing of the Coulomb potential by

Figure 3.5: Dependence of the $^1$H NMR chemical shifts of the donor and acceptor protons in a methanol dimer on the oxygen-oxygen distance. The molecular geometry is illustrated in fig. 3.2. The NMR chemical shifts of the full QM calculation, the standard QM/MM scheme, and QM/MM+REP treatment are shown. The line coding is the same as for the water dimer (fig. 3.3). Again, the shifts are referenced to the corresponding isolated molecule.
the potential form of eq. (3.3). Only when the additional term from eq. (3.4) is added, the distance dependence is reproduced in agreement with the full QM calculation (× symbols in fig. 3.5). The NMR resonance of the donor proton is well reproduced, while the acceptor proton is not improved. However, the absolute value of its variation with \( d_{OO} \) is even smaller than in the case of the water-water cluster.

In conclusion, the optimization of the interactions in the QM/MM interface region is the central problem in hybrid quantum–classical calculations. The benchmark results indicate that the short-range interactions of the solvent are not easy to model adequately (on a quantitative level) in point-charge based QM/MM schemes. Already in the original paper describing the QM/MM interaction Hamiltonian, the sensitivity of geometric data (RDFs) on the choice of the smoothing radius \( r_c \) in eq. (3.3) had been observed. Similar results have been reported by other authors (124). In these studies, it was shown that albeit the QM/MM approach does not yield quantitative agreement with corresponding all-quantum calculations, it does have a good predictive power for trends and environmental effects in NMR shieldings. It is believed that for an optimal treatment of the quantum-classical interface, more sophisticated effective potentials need to be generated (54; 134; 139; 140).
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3.3.1 Hydrogen bonding structure from radial distribution functions

The adenine molecule with its atom numbering scheme is shown in figure 3.1. The radial distribution functions (RDF) of the acceptor nitrogens of adenine and the water hydrogens are shown in figures 3.6 and 3.7, together with the RDFs of the donor C–H protons and the aqueous oxygens.

The first peak of the RDF($d_{N,H_{\text{aq}}}$) in figure 3.6, located at 2Å, is similar for all unprotonated nitrogen atoms ($N_{(1)}, N_{(3)}, N_{(7)}$). Nevertheless, there is a
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Figure 3.6: The radial pair distribution functions (RDF) computed for the distance between adenine nitrogens and water protons. The distribution functions have been smoothened by applying running averages to the raw data.
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Figure 3.7: The radial pair distribution functions of the distances between aromatic carbon protons (of adenine) and water oxygens. As in fig. 3.6, running averages have been applied.
small difference in their nucleophilicity, in which N\(_{(7)}\) is the strongest, followed by N\(_{(3)}\) and N\(_{(1)}\). A substantially smaller first peak is associated to the amino group (N\(_{(6)}\)), which is sterically protected by its two protons. In most cases, the accessibility of the free electron pair towards the water is clearly visible in the peak at 2Å. The only exception concerns N\(_{(9)}\), which is not accepting any hydrogen bonds, resulting in a practically vanishing RDF.

The amino moiety (N\(_{(6)}\)) exhibits a comparably strong peak at 3Å, which we attribute to the protons of the water molecules whose oxygens accept H-bonds from the amino protons. The same holds for N\(_{(9)}\), which has its first maximum at 3.5Å.

Concerning the two aromatic C–H protons of adenine (figure 3.7), their affinity with regard to the oxygens of the water is significantly different. The RDF of the H\(_{(8)}\) proton does not show a clear structure, and the features around 2.3Å and 2.9Å are difficult to distinguish from the statistical noise. In contrast to this, the H\(_{(2)}\) has a clear peak at 3.1Å and the corresponding minimum at 4.2Å. Thus, both C–H protons have no direct hydrogen bonds to the solvent.

It is believed that the stronger structure of H\(_{(2)}\) is a consequence of the two H-bond acceptor sites (N\(_{(1)}\) and N\(_{(3)}\)), which attract more water oxygens to the neighborhood of H\(_{(2)}\) than the single acceptor site (N\(_{(7)}\)) of H\(_{(8)}\). In addition to this, the amino protons on N\(_{(6)}\) could attract the water molecules binding to N\(_{(7)}\) and hence lower the oxygen density around H\(_{(8)}\) further.

### 3.3.2 Solvent effect in the \(^1\)H NMR chemical shift spectrum

In experiment, the hydrogens attached to nitrogen atoms exchange on a fast timescale (relative to the timescale of the NMR experiment) with those of water.
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Hence, their NMR resonances are not visible in the proton NMR spectrum. The experimentally visible carbon protons, however, are usually not directly involved in hydrogen bonding to an aqueous solvent, due to the nonpolar C–H bond. Nevertheless, the H-bond acceptor sites on N(1), N(3) and N(7) are quite close to these C–H moieties, which could allow for a measurable solvent effect despite their non-polar character.

The $^1$H NMR chemical shift spectra of protons H(2) and H(8) are shown in figure 3.8, for the four computational setups as described in section 3.1.2. In the optimized isolated adenine, the resonance lines are separated by ~0.4ppm, with
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Figure 3.8: $^1$H NMR chemical shifts of the two aromatic C–H protons in adenine under the various computational conditions as mentioned in the text. For the numerical shift values, see table 3.2.
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H\(_{(8)}\) at higher frequency. A similar picture (Δδ ≈0.5ppm, with H\(_{(8)}\) less shielded) is obtained for isolated adenine, when only its molecular geometry is extracted from the QM/MM simulations (ignoring the solvent).

A dramatic change is observed upon inclusion of the classical point charges of the surrounding water. The polarization due to the solvent inverts the order of the protons, leaving H\(_{(8)}\) at lower frequency, and reducing their shift difference to ~0.2ppm. When comparing to the experimental results, only the full QM/MM calculations yield the correct assignment of the NMR chemical shift lines. From the isolated adenines, the inverse order of the resonances is obtained.

A slightly smaller difference (~0.15ppm) is obtained when including the additional repulsive potential; this change, however, is clearly below our statistical and systematic errors. However, there is space for improvement on the level of the functional form and the numerical parameters of the repulsive interaction. The significance of the repulsive potential is presently being investigated in our group.

The proton solvent shifts have also been computed at the PCM level of theory (see table 3.3). Compared to the isolated molecule, the polarizable continuum is able to improve the relative shifts of the aromatic protons considerably. However, the inversion of the \(^1\)H NMR resonances is not observed, the shift difference becomes +0.28ppm in the PCM as opposed to -0.04ppm in experiment.

3.3.3 Dynamical evolution of the proton shifts

The dynamical evolution of the \(^1\)H NMR chemical shifts of the aromatic C–H protons during the QM/MM molecular dynamics simulation is shown in figure 3.9. The results have been plotted from the full QM/MM NMR calculations as well as the evolution of the isolated adenine molecules in the conformations extracted
from the QM/MM trajectory, in order to see directly the effect of the polarization due to the solvent molecules. As expected, the dynamical trends with and without the point charges closely follow each other for both protons, which shows that most of the microscopic oscillations in the instantaneous NMR values are due to the atomic motion of the molecule (geometric effect).

The oscillations of the two protons show no mutual correlation. Neither the amplitude of the oscillations nor the (partially averaged) chemical shifts themselves show any drift in the 12ps timescale considered here. However, the standard deviation of $H_{(2)}$ is about twice as large ($\sim 0.4$ppm) as that of $H_{(8)}$ ($\sim 0.2$ppm). In

![Figure 3.9: Evolution of the $^1$H NMR chemical shifts of the two aromatic C–H protons in adenine during the QM/MM molecular dynamics trajectory. The shifts were obtained with and without inclusion of the QM/MM point charges in the linear response calculations.](image-url)
both cases, the statistical error keeps in the range of the systematic one (in the order of 0.3 . . . 0.4ppm). Further, there is a dramatic change in the influence of the polarization from the solvent: While H$_{(2)}$ is almost unaffected and its chemical shift trends are essentially congruent with and without solvation, the solvent shift of H$_{(8)}$ manifests itself as a shift offset (of $\sim$0.5ppm), which is almost constant during the entire simulation.

Surprisingly, this finding has no straightforward correlation with the radial distribution functions of H$_{(2)}$ and H$_{(8)}$ (figure 3.7). The proton with the more structured oxygen distribution (H$_{(2)}$) exhibits a smaller solvent shift than H$_{(8)}$ which appears to have the water oxygens distributed more homogeneously around itself. However, since in both cases, the solvent oxygens do not actually form hydrogen bonds – the RDFs vanish for distances below 2.1Å – we attribute the different responses of the two C–H protons to indirect effects, e.g. the polarization of the neighboring nitrogens and the interaction of the aromatic electrons with the solvent field. Those effects are difficult to predict from simple concepts.

### 3.3.4 Solvent effect in the $^{15}$N NMR chemical shift spectrum

The $^{15}$N NMR chemical shift spectra of the five nitrogen atoms in adenine are shown in figure 3.10, referenced to isolated nitromethane (NO$_2$CH$_3$). For all computational models, the spectra shows three main features: At low frequency (-280 . . . -300ppm) appears the amino group, at medium frequency ($\approx$-210ppm), the secondary aromatic amino moiety is found, and the remaining unprotonated nitrogens are located at high frequency around -150 . . . -175ppm.

Again the fully optimized molecule and the isolated adenine configurations from the QM/MM trajectory are similar, although there is a global high-frequency
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Figure 3.10: $^{15}$N NMR chemical shifts of all nitrogen atoms in adenine under the various computational conditions as mentioned in the text. For the numerical shift values, see table 3.2.

A shift of about 5ppm when including the geometric effect from the condensed-phase molecular dynamics simulation. It is important to note that $N_{(3)}$ and $N_{(7)}$ are almost undistinguishable, while $N_{(1)}$ is about 10ppm less shielded.

The picture remains qualitatively the same when incorporating the solvation via the QM/MM point charges. However, $N_{(3)}$ and $N_{(7)}$ separate and $\delta(N_{(3)})$ approaches the $N_{(1)}$ shift, both for the standard QM/MM interaction scheme as well as with the additional repulsive potential. As in the case of the proton chemical shifts, this effect of the aqueous environment brings the shape of the calculated $^{15}$N spectra closer to the experimental results. Hence, a unique assignment of
the experimental NMR chemical shift lines is only possible by means of the full QM/MM NMR calculations.

Still, the agreement with experiment is not perfect, especially for the amino group. This is probably a consequence of the use of pseudopotentials, which have certain transferability errors between differently hybridized atoms. In this case, the chemical shift reference is an sp\(^2\) hybridized nitrogen (in NO\(_2\)CH\(_3\)), which typically results in a better experimental agreement with atoms of the same or similar hybridization state. The amino nitrogen, however, is in an sp\(^3\) state, which causes a deterioration of the relative shifts when using an sp\(^2\) shielding reference.

A part of this problem can be attributed to very large variations of the chemical shift anisotropy as shown in table 3.2 and table 3.3, which in adenine range up to 400ppm in the all-electron calculation, and only up to 280ppm in the pseudopotential calculation. This discrepancy shows that the pseudopotential approach is indeed not capable of reproducing the true spread in the NMR eigenvalues exactly, which in turn will also lead to larger errors in the isotropic chemical shifts. Hence, the good agreement (for the \(^{15}\)N shifts) with experiment in the pseudopotential scheme is probably partly fortuitous. However, it is believed that the changes due to the chemical environment are more reliable and do reflect the physics of solvation.

Another source of error is the actual description of the hybridization state of N\(_{(6)}\) in the QM/MM molecular dynamics simulation, which has been monitored by means of the degree of planarity of NH\(_2\). It has been observed that in the MD runs, the nature of N\(_{(6)}\) appears to vary between sp\(^2\) and sp\(^3\) on short timescales (~50fs). This effect is due to changes in the momentaneous hydrogen bonding state of these protons, which results in large-amplitude deformations of the NH\(_2\) group from its planar equilibrium geometry. However, it is not clear how accurate
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>scheme:</th>
<th>isolated</th>
<th>isolated</th>
<th>QM/MM</th>
<th>QM/MM+REP</th>
<th>exp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>geometry:</td>
<td>optimized</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(2)</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>8.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(8)</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>8.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)</td>
<td>-151.8(241.2)</td>
<td>-144.8</td>
<td>-162.3</td>
<td>-158.5</td>
<td>-157.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(3)</td>
<td>-159.1(254.1)</td>
<td>-154.3</td>
<td>-166.7</td>
<td>-162.6</td>
<td>-158.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(6)</td>
<td>-271.1(54.3)</td>
<td>-264.8</td>
<td>-268.8</td>
<td>-273.9</td>
<td>-304.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(7)</td>
<td>-160.9(248.8)</td>
<td>-154.1</td>
<td>-180.6</td>
<td>-174.3</td>
<td>-166.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(9)</td>
<td>-213.0(50.2)</td>
<td>-213.9</td>
<td>-209.0</td>
<td>-211.1</td>
<td>-209.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: Calculated and experimental values of the $^1$H and $^{15}$N NMR chemical shifts of adenine with the CPMD code. “MD” means that an ensemble of configurations has been extracted from the QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations. While the third and fourth significant digits of hydrogen and nitrogen shifts are below the numerical accuracy, they are given here to illustrate trends between the computational models. Values in parentheses are anisotropies of the chemical shifts tensor.

these conformational fluctuations are modeled within the QM/MM scheme, which can not provide the same quality in terms of energetics and structural features as in a full QM description.

The results of the PCM model are shown in table 3.3. With respect to the isolated molecule, most chemical shifts improve considerably, albeit they do not reach a quantitative agreement with experiment. For all nitrogens except N(6), the changes due to solvation are similar when compared to our QM/MM calculations (table 3.2). The absolute values, however, are a bit less satisfactory. However, this might also be a fortuitous agreement of the pseudopotential-based calculations, which are usually expected to underperform all-electron based approaches.
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Table 3.3: Calculated and experimental values of the $^1$H and $^{15}$N NMR chemical shifts of adenine. The geometry optimizations and shielding calculations were done using localized basis sets (138). Values in parentheses are anisotropies of the chemical shifts tensor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H(2)</th>
<th>H(8)</th>
<th>N(1)</th>
<th>N(3)</th>
<th>N(6)</th>
<th>N(7)</th>
<th>N(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scheme:</td>
<td>isolated</td>
<td>PCM</td>
<td>exp.</td>
<td>isolated</td>
<td>PCM</td>
<td>exp.</td>
<td>isolated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geometry:</td>
<td>optimized</td>
<td>optimized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(2)</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(8)</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)</td>
<td>-112.3(374.4)</td>
<td>-124.6</td>
<td>-157.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(3)</td>
<td>-121.0(392.3)</td>
<td>-132.8</td>
<td>-158.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(6)</td>
<td>-295.3( 79.5)</td>
<td>-290.1</td>
<td>-304.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(7)</td>
<td>-103.6(394.1)</td>
<td>-119.9</td>
<td>-166.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(9)</td>
<td>-212.7( 92.7)</td>
<td>-203.4</td>
<td>-209.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Conclusion

In this chapter, hybrid quantum/classical (QM/MM) calculations of the aqueous solvation of adenine have been presented, in particular regarding the $^1$H and $^{15}$N NMR resonance lines and their solvent shifts. The NMR calculations are based on a QM/MM Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics simulation, under full consideration of the QM/MM solute/solvent interaction. The results show that the adequate incorporation of electrostatic effects is crucial for a correct assignment of the experimental NMR resonances, and that QM/MM scheme presented in this work, is indeed suitable for a reliable description of such effects.

An investigation has been shown that in QM/MM calculations, the – frequently ignored – effect of the electron clouds of the solvent molecules is often significant for the electronic structure and the spectroscopic parameters of solute atoms which are in direct contact with the solvent. The additional repulsive potential, which mimics the incorporation of the Pauli exclusion principle, is capable of modeling a part of this effect (55). However, there is still a need for improvement, in particular in the direction of pseudopotentials specifically optimized for this Pauli interaction, along the lines of refs. (54; 139; 140). Work in this direction is in progress and will be presented in a forthcoming publication.
Chapter 4

Optimization of capping potentials in hybrid QM/MM calculations

4.1 Introduction

One of the difficulties of such a hybrid quantum mechanical/mechanical modeling (QM/MM) approach is the transition region between the two different parts. Often, chemical bonds are “broken”, i.e. one of the atoms involved in the covalent bond is in the quantum (QM) part, the other in the classical (MM) one. This situation is sketched in figure 4.1. Similar problems arise when MM atoms are located near a QM region, because the QM and MM descriptions are not genuinely compatible. Thus, a suitable interface has to be used, which can mutually couple the two schemes in a realistic way.

In this chapter, the perturbing effect of a bond cleavage is discussed that occurs if a part of a given molecule is treated using quantum mechanics and another part is modeled classically (figure 4.1). There are several approaches for
tackling such a situation, where most commonly a carbon-carbon bond is cut. In the following, the resulting pseudo-atom will be referred as “dummy atom” or capping potential synonymously (the “D” atom in fig. 4.1). There are many implementations of QM/MM molecular dynamics simulation methods already available in quantum chemistry software packages; many groups have further developed specific improvements to the QM/MM idea (38; 39; 40; 41; 42; 43; 45; 96; 99; 141). In particular, there are several approaches to tackle the bond saturation problem arising from a bond cleavage by the QM/MM repartitioning as mentioned above. Among them are:

• Hydrogen capping: The dummy atom in figure 4.1 is represented by a regular hydrogen atom (142). This relatively straightforward solution has known disadvantages, but it is nevertheless used very often. Obviously, the CH bond length is shorter than the original CC bond, and the vibrational frequencies are different. The smaller electronegativity of the hydrogen furthermore changes the electronic structure of the quantum subsystem in the vicinity of the border region considerably. This perturbation can reach over several CC bonds in the QM subsystem.

• Fluorine capping: The saturation of the dangling bond is done via a seven-valent termination atom, for instance a fluorine, instead of a hydrogen. While this solution, which was originally developed as a pseudo-bond approach (99), provides a better bond distance agreement \( d_{C-F} \approx d_{C-C} \), the electronegativity of fluorine is significantly higher than that of carbon. Thus, the electronic subsystem can be perturbed somewhat stronger compared to hydrogen capping.

• Frozen orbitals: An alternative method relies on pre-computed atomic orbitals that are placed at the link atom in order to ensure an adequate elec-
trostatic interaction and an accurate orthogonality of the terminal chemical bond of the QM subsystem (143). This frozen-orbital scheme has also been employed for the calculation of NMR shielding constants (144). A related approach has been developed by the Truhlar group, where auxiliary hybrid orbitals are used to provide an optimal directionality of the termination of the last QM bond (145). While this class of approaches is one of the more accurate ones, it involves a higher coding effort for the incorporation of the frozen orbitals, even though they are excluded from the actual SCF optimization.

- Effective fragment potential: Originally designed as a discrete solvation approach to treat chemical reactions in solution (146), it has been extended to study covalently bound clusters and bulk properties (147; 148; 149; 150). In this method, the total system is divided into a QM region and the environment (the fragment) which interacts with the QM region via a set of one-electron potentials. All important physical interactions between the two fragments (which can be either covalently or non-covalently bonded) are considered explicitly, in particular electrostatic interactions, charge penetration and polarization effects. Also the effect of exchange repulsion can be incorporated into the scheme. While this effective fragment potential provides a highly accurate description of the original quantum-mechanical interactions, it is not designed to be transferable between different types of fragments. Furthermore, it requires a considerable additional effort both for the design and implementation of the fragment potentials, and it increases the computational effort at runtime compared to conventional QM/MM approaches based on empirical force fields for the MM part. A method that has similar characteristics is known as the effective group potentials (151; 152),
but has been used less frequently than the original effective fragment potential approach.

- Field-adapted adjustable density matrix assembler (FA-ADMA): A related technique exists in which the target macromolecule is divided into fragments for which conventional quantum chemical calculations are performed (153; 154; 155). Both the fragment and its local environment up to a certain distance are included in these calculations, and the rest of the macromolecule is incorporated via point charges. This approach is hence a regular QM/MM method, with the difference that the QM region is made somewhat larger than really necessary to remove the problems related to the QM/MM boundary region.

- Quantum capping potentials: The saturation of dangling bonds with effective potentials has already been attempted by DiLabio et al. (100; 101; 102; 103; 104) in an approach that is similar to the one proposed in this work. A conventional pseudopotential is used to truncate the quantum region, using a local part and nonlocal angular-momentum-dependent projectors. These effective capping potentials, however, are not specifically tuned to reproduce the full-QM spectroscopic properties in the QM/MM calculations. Instead, they are built in analogy to the generation of regular atomic pseudopotentials, focusing on the capping atom’s orbitals and their energy levels.

The aim of this work wants to go one step beyond the QM/MM capping approaches presented above, by using specially designed capping potentials. The results of an optimization scheme designed to improve such special potentials within a density functional theory based approach are presented. Specifically, this work is based on analytical effective core potentials (pseudopotentials) of
Figure 4.1: General principle of the repartitioning scheme for a QM/MM calculation in which a chemical bond (here: C-R$_2$) crosses the QM/MM border and is hence cleaved. The link atom that saturates the resulting dangling bond (-R$_2$) is denoted $D$. Also shown is the ethane molecule, which serves as the reference molecule for optimizing the parameters of the pseudopotential by which the dummy atoms is implemented.

Goedecker type (75; 156), in line with previous QM/MM studies (45; 157; 158). The goal is to optimize the pseudopotential parameters in such a way that the change of the electronic density in the quantum part of a QM/MM calculation is minimal with respect to a "full-QM" calculation. In this way, it also be ensured that structural parameters and spectroscopic properties in the direct neighborhood of a QM/MM bond cleavage are modeled with a high degree of reliability.

To achieve this aim, a penalty functional that quantifies the deviation of the electronic density in a molecular fragment from the corresponding density in the complete molecule is defined, while simultaneously penalizing changes in the equilibrium bond distance and frequency. The penalty functional is minimized iteratively by varying the coefficients of the capping potential placed at the bond cleavage site. This approach is similar to the recently developed heptavalent potential (54), where effective atom centered potentials were variationally optimized to describe the methyl group in acetic acid. However, it was found that this potential is not optimally reproducing the spectroscopic parameters of the full molecule. In this paper, the writer modifies this approach by creating novel design dummy atoms which are conceptually similar and easy to employ, without
significant code modifications. The capping potentials, presented in this thesis, can be used as link atoms replacing a carbon, and involve no further external geometry constraints. They also give reasonable results for potential energy surfaces of the C-C bond. The perturbative effect of the bond cleavage is characterized by means of NMR chemical shifts, which are known to be particularly sensitive to both the intra-molecular electronic structure and intermolecular effects such as hydrogen bonding (19; 25; 30; 159; 160). Hence, the direct perturbing effect of the cleaved bond on the electronic structure of the remaining part of a molecule can not be only gauged, but also quantitatively describe how strongly its response properties are tainted by the QM/MM bond cleavage.

4.2 Methods and computational details

4.2.1 Goal of the optimization

The purpose of dummy atoms in QM/MM calculations is to enable a saturation of the last covalent bond of the quantum region, i.e. the bond which is cleaved by the QM/MM repartitioning. The central difficulty regarding the quantum region is that the true character of the bond cannot be reproduced easily by a simple terminal atom. Especially spectroscopic parameters react very sensitively to small deviations in the electronic structure around the cleaved bond.

The aim of the optimization scheme is to provide a tool which allows to tune the properties of the terminal “dummy” atom in such a way as to make the electronic density in the QM part of the molecule ($\rho_D$) as similar as possible to the reference electron density ($\rho_{QM}$), i.e. the density when the entire molecule is treated quantum-mechanically. This will eventually lead to an improvement in the spectroscopic properties of the system in the QM/MM description. The
investigation further aim at preserving the C-C equilibrium bond length in the
dummy calculation, in order to allow an easy coupling of the “first” classical MM
atom and to avoid the need for additional geometric constraints.

To this aim, a penalty functional is defined, which expresses the deviation of
these properties from their target values obtained in a full-QM calculation via:

\[ P = \int_\Omega d^3r \left[ \rho_{QM}(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_D(\mathbf{r}) \right]^2 + \]

\[ \sum_{J} \left\{ w_F \sum_{I} \left[ F_{IQM}(R_J) - F_{ID}(R_J) \right]^2 + \right. \]

\[ w_E \left[ \Delta E_{QM}(R_J) - \Delta E_{D}(R_J) \right]^2 \} \]

The integration volume \( \Omega \) is used to restrict the penalization region to areas in
which an improvement is physically meaningful. In that case, this volume corre-
sponds to the union of spheres of 1Å radius around all atoms except the carbon
which immediately follows the dummy atom. This definition ensures that the
covalent dummy-carbon bond is not included in the penalty integration volume,
while all other bonds of the first carbon are fully incorporated. The \( w_F \) and
\( w_E \) are weighting factors chosen such as to ensure adequate relative importance
is given to deviations of the electronic density, the forces and the total energy.
It turned out that in atomic units, both weighting factors can be set to unity.

Several different molecular geometries (here \( N_{geom}=3 \)) are incorporated in the
force and energy terms of eq. (4.1), in order to ensure that not only the equilib-
rium configuration of the molecule is taken into account. Specifically, the three
geometries correspond to a stretching and shortening of the CC-bond by ±0.1Å.
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4.2.2 Functional form of the dummy potential

The capping potentials are represented in the form of analytical effective core potentials of Goedecker type (54; 156), consisting of a local and a nonlocal part. For a carbon atom, the local potential reads

\[ V_{\text{loc}}(r) = -\frac{Z_{\text{ion}}}{|r|} \text{erf} [\varrho] + e^{-\varrho^2} \left( C_1 + C_2 \varrho^2 \right) \]  

(4.2)

with the reduced radius \( \varrho = |r|/\sqrt{2}r_{\text{loc}} \) and the valence charge \( Z_{\text{ion}} \), which would be \( Z_{\text{ion}} = 4e \) for a regular carbon pseudopotential. The local radius \( r_{\text{loc}} \) characterizes both the Gaussian smearing of the nuclear charge density resulting in the error function and the decay of the local potential in eq. (4.2). The nonlocal part of the carbon capping potential consists of one s-type and one p-type projector:

\[ V_{\text{nl}}(r, r') = h_s \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r_s^3} \exp \left( -\frac{r^2 + r'^2}{2r_s^2} \right) + h_p \frac{32}{225 \pi} \frac{r}{r_p^5} \exp \left( -\frac{r^2 + r'^2}{2r_p^2} \right) \sum_{m=0, \pm 1} Y_1^m(\hat{r})Y_1^m(\hat{r}') \]  

(4.3)

with additional characteristic radii \( r_s, r_p \) and the amplitudes \( h_s, h_p \) of one s and p-type projector respectively. The starting point for the optimization of the capping potential parameters \( (C_1, C_2, r_{\text{loc}}, r_s, h_s) \) was the regular carbon pseudopotential with an adjusted valence charge \( (Z_{\text{ion}} = 1) \).

4.2.3 Optimization scheme

Common effective core potentials are often generated by means of a direct inversion of the electronic Schrödinger equation for an isolated atom, with the help of its all-electron orbitals (161). An alternative approach consists in iteratively minimizing a penalty functional that expresses the deviations of the pseudo wave
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function from its all-electron counterpart; this method is commonly used for analytic potentials of Goedecker type (75; 156).

In analogy to this concept, the writer optimizes the potentials by an iterative Nelder-Mead downhill simplex minimization (78) of the penalty function in eq. (4.1). All seven parameters of the analytic expression in eqs. (4.2) and (4.3) are varied until the penalty functional becomes stationary. While the derivative of the force and energy terms of the penalty functional with respect to the capping parameters is done via a three-point finite difference, the derivative of the density deviation is done analytically via perturbation theory. This part can be obtained by means of a perturbation theory calculation. On the example of the radius of the s-channel of the potential, this can be achieved according to

\[
\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{dr_s} = 2\int_{\Omega} d^3r \left[ \rho_{QM}(r) - \rho_{D}(r) \right] \frac{d\rho_{D}(r)}{dr_s} + \ldots \quad (4.4)
\]

in which the term \( \frac{d\rho_{D}(r)}{dr_s} \) is computed as the first order density response of the system with respect to the “perturbation” that is induced by varying the s-channel radius \( r_s \) in the capping potential. In this context, \( \mathcal{H}^{(1)} = \frac{dV_{ext}}{dr_s} \) represents a perturbation Hamiltonian, as would be an external electric or magnetic field in the case of an external perturbation (105; 106; 162).

4.2.4 Computational details

The calculations were done within density functional theory (11; 12; 13) using the BLYP (17; 18) exchange-correlation functional, as implemented in the CPMD package (49; 130). Standard norm-conserving pseudopotentials (75; 156) were used and a 70 Ry energy cutoff for the plane-wave expansion of the Kohn-Sham orbitals. In order to simplify the problem of the bond cleavage and to eliminate the corresponding degrees of freedom, any point charges to the atoms in the
classical fragments have not been assigned.

The calculation of magnetic resonance properties (NMR chemical shifts) were done within density functional perturbation theory as implemented in the CPMD package (105; 106; 114). Following the experimental convention, chemical shifts were quoted relative to computed nuclear shieldings of standard reference systems tetramethylsilane and nitromethane for $^{13}$C, $^1$H and $^{15}$N according to eq. (4.5); all sp2-hybridized carbons are actually referenced indirectly to TMS via the experimental shift and the computed shieldings of benzene ($\delta_{(C_6H_6)}^{\text{exp}}=128.4$ppm (163)) according to eq. (4.6):

\[
\delta_{(X)}^{\text{calc}} = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} \left[ \sigma_{(\text{TMS/NMe})}^{\text{calc}} - \sigma_{(X)}^{\text{calc}} \right] \tag{4.5}
\]

\[
\delta_{(X)}^{\text{calc}} = \delta_{(C_6H_6)}^{\text{exp}} + \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} \left[ \sigma_{(C_6H_6)}^{\text{calc}} - \sigma_{(X)}^{\text{calc}} \right]. \tag{4.6}
\]
4.3 Results and Discussion

4.3.1 Dummy potentials in the reference molecule

The ethane molecule was chosen for the optimization of the pseudopotential parameters of the carbon dummy atom, because C-C bonds are very common within biomolecules, and thus a controllable way of cutting is highly desirable. Fig. 4.2 shows the evaluation of the parameters $r_{\text{loc}}$, $r_s$ and $r_p$ during the simultaneous optimization.

![Figure 4.2: History of the penalty function depend on $r_{\text{loc}}$, $r_s$ and $r_p$.](image)

The results for the potential parameters (see eq. (4.2) and eq.(4.3) for the form of the potential) are shown in table 4.1. The results for $r_{\text{loc}}$, $r_s$ and $h_s$ are comparable to the original values of the regular carbon pseudopotential (75; 156).
\( r_{\text{loc}} \) indicates that the range of the Gaussian ionic charge distribution is increased. C1 is responsible for an attracting potential that is strengthened by about 20\% in the capping atom, while the repulsive term C2 is decreased by about 75\%. This results in an overall stronger electron affinity of the capping atom, which can be seen as a partial compensation of the change in core charge with respect to the original pseudopotential from \( Z_v = 4 \) to \( Z_v = 1 \). An additional p-type projector is used for introducing a small perturbation in order to allow for a stronger repulsion.

### 4.3.2 Improvement of electronic densities with \( D_{\text{opti}} \)

In figure 4.3, the improvements obtained due to the optimization process for ethane are illustrated in terms of electron density differences. The density in the full molecule have been compared to the density of the dummy-substituted one, using the initial values for the pseudopotential (\( D_{\text{ini}} \)) and the optimized dummy parameters (\( D_{\text{opti}} \)). It can be recalled that the initial values are the pseudopotential parameters for a regular carbon atom (except for the valence charge, which is reduced to one). Finally, a direct comparison of \( D - CH_3 \) between the initial and optimized dummy link atom \( D \) is shown in figure 4.3, along with its projection in 2D.

The effect of the optimization is obvious. For the unoptimized dummy \( D_i \),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( r_{\text{loc}} )</th>
<th>( C_1 )</th>
<th>( C_2 )</th>
<th>( r_s )</th>
<th>( h_s )</th>
<th>( r_p )</th>
<th>( h_p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>regular C</td>
<td>0.3376</td>
<td>-9.1285</td>
<td>1.4251</td>
<td>0.3025</td>
<td>9.6507</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_C )</td>
<td>0.3593</td>
<td>-11.5399</td>
<td>0.3408</td>
<td>0.2786</td>
<td>9.5771</td>
<td>1.5677</td>
<td>0.0968</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Pseudopotential parameters of the regular carbon atom and the optimized dummy capping potential. \( D_C \) represent the capping potentials optimized for a C-C bond, \( r_{\text{loc}}, r_s, r_p \) are given in units of Bohr, \( C_1, C_2, h_s \) and \( h_p \) in Hartree.
4.3 Results and Discussion

Figure 4.3: Isosurfaces of the electron density difference plot at the 0.001 a.u. values for the dummy-substituted ethane molecule. From left to right: $\rho_{D_{ini}} - \rho_{CH_3}$, $\rho_{H_3C - CH_3}$, $\rho_{D_{opti} - CH_3}$, $\rho_{D_{opti} - H_3C - CH_3}$, $\rho_{D_{ini} - CH_3}$. 
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the substituted molecule has an incorrect electronic density beyond the cleavage bond ($D - C$), with regions of both increased and decreased electron density. In contrast to this, the optimized dummy yields a very low density difference with respect to the unperturbed molecule immediately after the first regular carbon atom. When comparing the density differences between the initial and optimized dummy atoms directly (rightmost plot in fig. 4.3), the strongest differences are located at the dummy itself, while the density redistribution at the methyl protons is still considerable.

### 4.3.3 NMR chemical shifts of the dummy-substituted ethane

The accuracy of the optimized dummy atoms is benchmarked by calculating NMR chemical shifts, which represent the electronic response to an external magnetic field. NMR parameters are highly sensitive to small changes in the electronic structure around a nucleus, including orbitals up to a few Angstroms away from the considered atom. In this way, they offer a complementary non-local and orbital-based probe, complementary to the penalty functional itself that is based only on the total density.

The isotropic NMR chemical shift values of the ethane reference molecule (table 4.2) have been computed, comparing the full-quantum setup with the dummy-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>R $\rightarrow$ CH$_3$</th>
<th>R $\rightarrow$ $D_{\text{ini}}$</th>
<th>R $\rightarrow$ $D_{7v}$</th>
<th>R $\rightarrow$ $D_{\text{opti}}$</th>
<th>Exp (164)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^H$ (R-CH$_3$)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^C$ (R-CH$_3$)</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>-22.83</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Calculated $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR chemical shifts (in ppm) of ethane before and after the substitution of the methyl group by dummy atoms. Besides the initial and optimized dummy potentials ($D_{\text{ini}}$ and $D_{\text{opti}}$), the heptavalent potential developed by Lilienfeld et al. (54) was used ($D_{7v}$). The respective nucleus is indicated by a bold character, the shieldings are referenced according to eq. (4.5).
4.3 Results and Discussion

substituted molecule. For the latter, the optimized ($D_{\text{opti}}$) and the unoptimized ($D_{\text{ini}}$) monovalent dummy potentials are used, as well as the seven-valent one ($D_{\tau_v}$) developed by Lilienfeld et al. (54), which was developed to reproduce the electronic density in acetic acid.

It turns out (see table 4.2) that the chemical shifts from the optimized capping atom are generally in better agreement with the all-QM calculation than for the initial ($D_{\text{ini}}$) and seven-valent ($D_{\tau_v}$) capping potentials. The initial potential results in significantly lower chemical shifts, while the heptavalent dummy greatly overestimates them. Only the optimized monovalent substitution yields values close to the all-quantum calculation. A similar picture emerges for carbon, where both the unoptimized monovalent and the heptavalent dummies yield incorrect results. Deviations of 0.4ppm for the protons and 0.7ppm for carbon (as obtained for $D_{\text{opti}}$) are probably unavoidable for the heteroatom directly connected to the dummy, but errors of 30ppm and 10ppm (for $D_{\text{ini}}$ and $D_{\tau_v}$, respectively) are clearly more than what would normally be deemed acceptable. In conclusion, the NMR resonances of the dummy-substituted ethane show indeed a very good agreement with the corresponding full-quantum calculations, even for the atom directly connected to the bond cleavage.

4.3.4 Energetic and Geometric properties of the $D$-C bonds

The geometry of the reference ethane has been optimized with the methyl group substituted by the optimized dummy atom. The results for selected distances and angles are shown in table 4.3. The equilibrium geometry of the initial potential exhibits somewhat elongated bonds, but the situation improves significantly with the optimized dummy atom. Comparing the equilibrium bond distances to the heptavalent dummy ($D_{\tau_v}$), an investigation find a clear improvement, which is
4.3 Results and Discussion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R \rightarrow CH_3$</th>
<th>$R \rightarrow D_{ini}$</th>
<th>$R \rightarrow D_{opti}$</th>
<th>$R \rightarrow D_{7v}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d(R-C)$ [Å]</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d(C-H)$ [Å]</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{H-C-C}$ [deg]</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>110.3</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>106.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{H-C-H}$ [deg]</td>
<td>107.6</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>106.9</td>
<td>112.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3: Optimized bond lengths in the ethane reference molecule, before and after substitution of the methyl group by a dummy. The bond lengths are reported both for the initial capping potential (parameters of the regular carbon pseudopotential) as well as for the optimized dummy.

most likely due to the incorporation of the atomic forces into the penalty functional.

Figure 4.4: Potential energy curve for rigid stretching/compression of the C-C bond in ethane and $D-CH_3$ with the original and the optimized pseudopotentials.

Figure 4.4 shows the potential energy profile of ethane as a function of the C-C
4.3 Results and Discussion

bond length. The equilibrium bond length of $D_{\text{ini}}$-CH$_3$ is somewhat longer than the full QM value. The optimized capping potential $D_{\text{opti}}$, in turn, improved this distance considerably. However, it does so at the expense of a somewhat lower curvature, corresponding to a reduced harmonic stretch frequency of this bond. The reason for this is that the parameters $C_1$ and $C_2$ in the local potential, eq. (4.2), which are both more negative after the optimization. A qualitative analysis showed that this results in a less repulsive $D - C$ potential. The optimization for both the electronic structure in the equilibrium geometry and the vibrational properties did not succeed simultaneously, which illustrates the limits of the monovalent capping with respect to other techniques. Nevertheless, for the analysis of structural and electronic response properties, the vibrational frequencies play only a minor role. Increased weighting factors $w_F$ and $w_E$ for the geometry-related term in the penalty potential might help to reduce this bond strength problem.

4.3.5 Application of the capping dummy potential to histidine

The good agreement obtained in the previous section might be fortuitous, as the dummy potentials were optimized for the very specific molecules that were subsequently benchmarked there. Thus, the transferability of the dummy potentials has been checked by applying them to a different molecule, namely histidine. Table 4.4 shows the NMR chemical shifts of the full histidine molecule and its imidazole fragment within a QM/MM description. As expected, the strongest deviations are observed for the carbon $C_{(2)}$ directly involved in the bond cleavage. Here, the dummy optimization scheme results in the reduction of the error by almost an order of magnitude compared to the unoptimized capping atom.
4.3 Results and Discussion

Similarly, a considerable improvement is obtained for the next carbons C(3) and C(6), as well as the nitrogen N(7). In all these cases, the optimized monovalent potential also performs better than the heptavalent dummy atom. For C(9) and N(8), which are further away from the bond cleavage, the situation is less drastic, and all three choices yield similar –yet small– discrepancies with respect to the full-QM calculation.

The hydrogens exhibit smaller absolute deviations, which is because their NMR chemical shift spectrum spans a range that is about an order of magnitude smaller than that of C and N nuclei. Nevertheless, the shifts of the hydrogens adjacent to the bond cut (H(4) and H(5)) are considerably be better. The problem...
4.3 Results and Discussion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full-QM</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{\text{opti}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{\text{ini}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{7\text{v}}$</th>
<th>Exp (164)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(2)</td>
<td>32.46</td>
<td>25.67</td>
<td>-2.72</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)</td>
<td>136.90</td>
<td>138.35</td>
<td>142.05</td>
<td>141.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)</td>
<td>137.37</td>
<td>136.69</td>
<td>132.52</td>
<td>135.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(9)</td>
<td>138.05</td>
<td>137.16</td>
<td>135.96</td>
<td>137.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(7)</td>
<td>-202.97</td>
<td>-201.98</td>
<td>-200.70</td>
<td>-204.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(8)</td>
<td>-138.91</td>
<td>-137.87</td>
<td>-139.60</td>
<td>-139.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(4)</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>5.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(5)</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(10)</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>7.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(11)</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>9.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(12)</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4: Calculated $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N NMR chemical shifts (in ppm) of histidine and its dummy-substituted fragment (for the atom numbering, see fig. 4.5). Data for both the initial and optimized dummy potentials ($\mathcal{D}_{\text{ini}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{opti}}$) as well as the heptavalent one ($\mathcal{D}_{7\text{v}}$) (54) are shown. $R$ represents the remaining fragments in the classical regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full-QM</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ [kcal/mol]</th>
<th>$r_{D-C_2}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$r_{C_2-C_3}$ [Å]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>238.1</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>245.8</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>239.8</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5: Computed and experimental proton affinities $\Delta E = E_{\text{DFT}}(\text{Hist}) - E_{\text{DFT}}(\text{Hist-H}^+)$ as well as geometric data for histidine and its dummy-substituted fragment (for the atom numbering, see fig. 4.5). Data for both our initial and optimized dummy potentials ($\mathcal{D}_{\text{ini}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{opti}}$) are shown. $R$ represents the remaining fragments in the classical regions.

for $H(4)$ might be due to the effect of the lone electron pairs of the nearby nitrogen, which are missing entirely when the left fragment is replaced by the dummy potential. It may be noted that that the same phenomenon applies to $H(10)$ which is in somewhat better agreement after the optimization. The deviations of $H(11)$ and $H(12)$ are quite small and do not obviously correlate to the choice of the capping potential. In most cases, the monovalent capping potential outperforms the heptavalent one.
4.3 Results and Discussion

In addition to the NMR chemical shifts, the optimized capping potential has been used to compute the protonation energy ($N_{(8)}$ is the protonation site), and structural parameters for comparison with the initial capping potential and full QM results. Geometry optimizations of both protonated and non-protonated form were carried out. The energy differences between these neutral and charged histidine molecules (see table 4.5) from the $D_{opti}$ capping model are fairly accurate when compared to full-QM results. Using $D_{opti}$ allow for reducing the error from the full QM deprotonation energy $\Delta E$ by 1.7 kcal/mol, while the $D_{ini}$ yields an error of 7.6 kcal/mol. Also for the $D-C_2$ bond length, the optimized $D_{opti}$ yields the smallest deviations from the full-QM values, whereas the equilibrium geometry of the $D_{ini}-C_2$ bonds is more elongated, in analogy to the situation observed for ethane.

4.3.6 Application of the capping dummy potential to lysine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full-QM</th>
<th>$R \leftrightarrow D_{ini}$</th>
<th>$R \leftrightarrow D_{opti}$</th>
<th>Exp (164)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(1)</td>
<td>37.54</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>36.27</td>
<td>32.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)</td>
<td>28.81</td>
<td>30.88</td>
<td>29.98</td>
<td>24.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)</td>
<td>35.27</td>
<td>43.81</td>
<td>37.39</td>
<td>29.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)</td>
<td>48.10</td>
<td>48.27</td>
<td>47.96</td>
<td>41.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(5)</td>
<td>-293.21</td>
<td>-292.82</td>
<td>-292.95</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(6,7)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(8,9)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(10,11)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(12,13)</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(14)</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(15)</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.6: Calculated $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N NMR chemical shifts (in ppm) of lysine and its dummy-substituted fragment (for the atom numbering, see fig. 4.6). Data for both the initial and optimized dummy potentials ($D_{ini}$ and $D_{opti}$) are shown. $R$ represents the remaining fragments in the classical regions.
Figure 4.6: Atom numbering and bond cutting scheme for the lysine molecule. The upper part (outside the “QM” region) is being replaced by the dummy capping potential (“D”).

The second QM/MM application of the capping potential is the amino acid lysine, which was split into QM/MM fragments as illustrated in fig. 4.6. In this present setup, the amino group was replaced by the initial and optimized dummy potentials; note that the $D_{opt}$ parameters were obtained from the ethane molecule without any further change, in order to benchmark the transferability of this capping scheme presented in this chapter.
The NMR chemical shifts obtained via the full-QM and QM/MM calculations are summarized in Table 4.6. It turns out that the results for the \( D_{\text{opti}} \) capping potential are in much better agreement with the full-QM values than those from the unoptimized dummy \( D_{\text{ini}} \). The deviation of the \( C_{(1)} \) chemical shift is decreased from 30 to 1.2 ppm, and for \( H_{(6)} \) and \( H_{(7)} \), it is reduced from 1.1 to 0.1 ppm. This suggests that the nonoptimized dummy potential leads to a seriously flawed representation of the electron density when the \( C_1 - D \) bond is broken in the QM/MM setup. Inspecting the shifts of \( C_{(2)} \), \( C_{(3)} \) and \( C_{(4)} \), it is found that the influence of the bond cleavage is not negligible even for the atoms located several bonds away from the QM/MM border. The \( D_{\text{opti}} \) instead agrees quite well with the full-QM calculations for all atoms.

A geometry optimization of the lysine molecule was furthermore performed within the QM/MM framework using the initial and optimized capping potentials \( D_{\text{ini}} \) and \( D_{\text{opti}} \). The resulting C-C bond lengths for the two bonds closest to the MM region are shown in table 4.7. While the \( C_1 - C_2 \) bond is not affected by the bond cleavage, the \( D - C_1 \) bond length is notably different for the unoptimized capping potential. The same is observed for the proton affinity of lysine, which is considerably better for the optimized link atom than for the initial one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full-QM</th>
<th>( R \mapsto D_{\text{ini}} )</th>
<th>( R \mapsto D_{\text{opti}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta E ) [kcal/mol]</td>
<td>225.6</td>
<td>232.8</td>
<td>228.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{D-C_1} ) [(\text{Å})]</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{C_1-C_2} ) [(\text{Å})]</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.7: Computed and experimental proton affinities \( \Delta E = E_{\text{DFT(Lys)}} - E_{\text{DFT(Lys-H}^+\text{)}} \) as well as geometric data for lysine and its dummy-substituted fragment, using both the initial and optimized capping potentials.
4.4 Conclusion

A new monovalent capping potential has been presented for hybrid QM/MM calculations within density functional theory. The parameters of analytic effective pseudopotentials were optimized such as to reproduce electronic density, proton affinities, atomic forces and geometries as closely as possible with respect to the corresponding full-QM quantities. Particular focus was put on the reliability of NMR chemical shifts as highly sensitive probes of the ground state and response properties of the electronic orbitals. The resulting analytic capping potentials were shown to have a high transferability for different molecules. An important advantage resulting from the improved electronic structure of the optimized capping potentials is that our $D_{\text{opti}}$ can help to reduce the QM box size significantly, since the perturbation of the QM/MM bond cleavage is essential undetectable in the QM region beyond one single chemical bond.
Chapter 5

Conclusion

The central aim of this thesis work is the application and further development of a hybrid quantum mechanical/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) based approach to compute spectroscopic properties of molecules in complex chemical environments. While the method is suitable and intended for biomolecules such as proteins, the focus of the present work was mainly on conceptual aspects. Therefore, the chemical complexity was reduced by considering rather small molecules in contact with a simple solvent (water), while nevertheless retaining all physical interactions as in the case of a complex biochemical system.

In the framework of this thesis, the existing density functional theory implementation of the QM/MM approach was first used to calculate the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) solvent shifts of an adenine molecule in aqueous solution (chapter 3). In order to obtain a realistic picture of this situation, a large number of solvent molecules was incorporated into the calculations, and a molecular dynamics trajectory was sampled with NMR calculations in order to obtain a suitable ensemble averaging. Both the generation of the molecular dynamics trajectory and the calculation of NMR chemical shifts were done within the QM/MM scheme, in which only the adenine molecule was treated quantum mechanically; all the surrounding water solvent was modeled via conventional point
charges (the so-called TIP3P all-atom force field). The findings show that the aqueous solvation with its strongly fluctuating hydrogen bond network leads to specific changes in the NMR resonance lines. Besides the absolute values, also the ordering of the NMR lines changes under the influence of the solvating water molecules. Without the QM/MM scheme, a quantum chemical calculation could have led to an incorrect assignment of these lines. While the agreement of the computed QM/MM NMR chemical shifts is not perfect, the overall agreement with respect to experimental values is very good for the $^1$H and reasonably good for the $^{15}$N chemical shifts.

The second part of this thesis describes a methodological improvement of the QM/MM method that is designed for cases in which a covalent chemical bond crosses the QM/MM boundary. The development consists in an automatized protocol to optimize the so-called capping potential that saturates the electronic subsystem in the QM region. While capping potentials are commonly used in QM/MM calculations since many years, their quality turned out to be insufficient to predict spectroscopic parameters with the desired accuracy. The optimization scheme presented in chapter 4 is capable of tuning the parameters of generalized capping potentials (for which analytical pseudopotentials with a small number of parameter are used) in such a way that the deviations of the electronic orbitals between the regular and the truncated (and "capped") molecule are minimized. This in turn results in a considerable improvement of the spectroscopic parameters computed with the new optimized capping potential.

In the present thesis, this optimization scheme is applied and benchmarked on the example of truncated carbon-carbon bonds in a set of small test molecules. It turns out that the optimized capping potentials yield an excellent agreement of NMR chemical shifts and protonation energies with respect to the corresponding full molecules. These first results are very promising, so that the application to
larger biological complexes will significantly improve the reliability of the prediction of the related spectroscopic properties.
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4.1 Pseudopotential parameters of the regular carbon atom and the optimized dummy capping potential. $D_C$ represent the capping potentials optimized for a C-C bond, $r_{loc}$, $r_s$, $r_p$ are given in units of Bohr, $C_1$, $C_2$, $h_s$ and $h_p$ in Hartree.

4.2 Calculated $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR chemical shifts (in ppm) of ethane before and after the substitution of the methyl group by dummy atoms. Besides the initial and optimized dummy potentials ($D_{ini}$ and $D_{opti}$), the heptavalent potential developed by Lilienfeld et al. (54) was used ($D_{7v}$). The respective nucleus is indicated by a bold character, the shieldings are referenced according to eq. (4.5).
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4.4 Calculated $^1$H, $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N NMR chemical shifts (in ppm) of histidine and its dummy-substituted fragment (for the atom numbering, see fig. 4.5). Data for both the initial and optimized dummy potentials ($D_{ini}$ and $D_{opti}$) as well as the heptavalent one ($D_{7v}$) (54) are shown. $R$ represents the remaining fragments in the classical regions.

4.5 Computed and experimental proton affinities $\Delta E = E_{DFT}(\text{Hist}) - E_{DFT}(\text{Hist-H}^+)$ as well as geometric data for histidine and its dummy-substituted fragment (for the atom numbering, see fig. 4.5). Data for both our initial and optimized dummy potentials ($D_{ini}$ and $D_{opti}$) are shown. $R$ represents the remaining fragments in the classical regions.
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3.2 Illustration of the two benchmark systems: The water dimer and the methanol dimer. For all systems, NMR chemical shift dependences as a function of the oxygen-oxygen distance have been computed. The three configurations have been considered: (i) both molecules quantum-mechanically (QM), (ii) the H-bond donor molecule as QM and the acceptor molecule classically (MM), and (iii) the H-bond acceptor molecule as QM with the donor as MM. The geometries of the clusters were not re-optimized for the different distances.

3.3 Distance dependence of the $^1$H NMR chemical shift of the donor and acceptor protons in a water dimer as illustrated in fig. 3.2, using a full QM, the standard QM/MM, and QM/MM+REP treatment of the different components. The calculation in which the donor molecule is treated quantum-mechanically is shown using solid lines, and the results for the quantum-mechanical acceptor are represented by dotted lines. The shifts are referenced to the corresponding isolated molecule.

3.4 Distance dependence of the $^{17}$O NMR chemical shifts of the donor and acceptor protons in a water dimer as illustrated in fig. 3.2 (left), using a full QM, the standard QM/MM, and QM/MM+REP treatment of the different components. The shifts are referenced to the corresponding isolated molecule.
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